



HAL
open science

Contribution of Orthopilot® navigation system to orthognathic surgery

Rodolphe Lartizien

► **To cite this version:**

Rodolphe Lartizien. Contribution of Orthopilot® navigation system to orthognathic surgery. Human health and pathology. 2019. dumas-02308668

HAL Id: dumas-02308668

<https://dumas.ccsd.cnrs.fr/dumas-02308668>

Submitted on 9 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



AVERTISSEMENT

Ce document est le fruit d'un long travail approuvé par le jury de soutenance et mis à disposition de l'ensemble de la communauté universitaire élargie.

Il n'a pas été réévalué depuis la date de soutenance.

Il est soumis à la propriété intellectuelle de l'auteur. Ceci implique une obligation de citation et de référencement lors de l'utilisation de ce document.

D'autre part, toute contrefaçon, plagiat, reproduction illicite encourt une poursuite pénale.

Contact au SID de Grenoble :
bump-theses@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

LIENS

Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle. articles L 122. 4
Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle. articles L 335.2- L 335.10

<http://www.cfcopies.com/juridique/droit-auteur>

Année : 2019

CONTRIBUTION OF ORTHOPILOT™ NAVIGATION SYSTEM
TO ORTHOGNATHIC SURGERY

*APPORT DU SYSTEME DE NAVIGATION ORTHOPILOT™
EN CHIRURGIE ORTHOGNATIQUE*

THÈSE
PRÉSENTÉE POUR L'OBTENTION DU TITRE DE DOCTEUR EN MÉDECINE
DIPLOME D'ÉTAT

Rodolphe LARTIZIEN

[Données à caractère personnel]

THÈSE SOUTENUE PUBLIQUEMENT À LA FACULTÉ DE MÉDECINE DE
GRENOBLE

Le : 13/09/2019

DEVANT LE JURY COMPOSÉ DE

Président du jury :

Monsieur le Professeur Jean-Philippe GIOT

Membres :

Madame le Professeur Chloé BERTOLUS

Monsieur le Professeur Georges BETTEGA, Directeur de Thèse

Monsieur le Professeur Patrick GOUDOT

Madame le Docteur Béatrice MORAND

L'UFR de Médecine de Grenoble n'entend donner aucune approbation ni improbation aux opinions émises dans les thèses ; ces opinions sont considérées comme propres à leurs auteurs.

Doyen de la Faculté : Pr. Patrice MORAND

Année 2018-2019

ENSEIGNANTS DE L'UFR DE MEDECINE


CORPS	NOM-PRENOM	Discipline universitaire
PU-PH	ALBALADEJO Pierre	Anesthésiologie réanimation
PU-PH	APTEL Florent	Ophthalmologie
PU-PH	ARVIEUX-BARTHELEMY Catherine	Chirurgie générale
PU-PH	BAILLET Athan	Rhumatologie
PU-PH	BARONE-ROCHETTE Gilles	Cardiologie
PU-PH	BAYAT Sam	Physiologie
PU-PH	BENHAMOU Pierre Yves	Endocrinologie, diabète et maladies métaboliques
PU-PH	BERGER François	Biologie cellulaire
MCU-PH	BIDART-COUTTON Marie	Biologie cellulaire
MCU-PH	BOISSET Sandrine	Agents infectieux
PU-PH	BOLLA Michel	Cancérologie-Radiothérapie
PU-PH	BONAZ Bruno	Gastro-entérologie, hépatologie, addictologie
PU-PH	BONNETERRE Vincent	Médecine et santé au travail
PU-PH	BOREL Anne-Laure	Endocrinologie, diabète et maladies métaboliques
PU-PH	BOSSON Jean-Luc	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
MCU-PH	BOTTARI Serge	Biologie cellulaire
PU-PH	BOUGEROL Thierry	Psychiatrie d'adultes
PU-PH	BOUILLET Laurence	Médecine interne
PU-PH	BOUZAT Pierre	Réanimation
PU-PH	BRAMBILLA Christian	Pneumologie
PU-PH	BRAMBILLA Elisabeth	Anatomie et de Pathologique Cytologiques
MCU-PH	BRENIER-PINCHART Marie Pierre	Parasitologie et mycologie
PU-PH	BRICAULT Ivan	Radiologie et imagerie médicale
PU-PH	BRICHON Pierre-Yves	Chirurgie thoracique et cardio- vasculaire
MCU-PH	BRIOT Raphaël	Thérapeutique, médecine d'urgence
MCU-PH	BROUILLET Sophie	Biologie et médecine du développement et de la reproduction
PU-PH	CAHN Jean-Yves	Hématologie
PU-PH	CANALI-SCHWEBEL Carole	Réanimation médicale
PU-PH	CARPENTIER Françoise	Thérapeutique, médecine d'urgence
PU-PH	CARPENTIER Patrick	Chirurgie vasculaire, médecine vasculaire
PU-PH	CESBRON Jean-Yves	Immunologie
PU-PH	CHABARDES Stephan	Neurochirurgie
PU-PH	CHABRE Olivier	Endocrinologie, diabète et maladies métaboliques
PU-PH	CHAFFANJON Philippe	Anatomie
PU-PH	CHARLES Julie	Dermatologie
PU-PH	CHAVANON Olivier	Chirurgie thoracique et cardio- vasculaire
PU-PH	CHIQUET Christophe	Ophthalmologie

CORPS	NOM-PRENOM	Discipline universitaire
PU-PH	CHIRICA Mircea	Chirurgie générale
PU-PH	CINQUIN Philippe	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
MCU-PH	CLAVARINO Giovanna	Immunologie
PU-PH	COHEN Olivier	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
PU-PH	COURVOISIER Aurélien	Chirurgie infantile
PU-PH	COUTTON Charles	Génétique
PU-PH	COUTURIER Pascal	Gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement
PU-PH	CRACOWSKI Jean-Luc	Pharmacologie fondamentale, pharmacologie clinique
PU-PH	CURE Hervé	Oncologie
PU-PH	DEBATY Guillaume	Médecine d'Urgence
PU-PH	DEBILLON Thierry	Pédiatrie
PU-PH	DECAENS Thomas	Gastro-entérologie, Hépatologie
PU-PH	DEMATTEIS Maurice	Addictologie
PU-PH	DEMONGEOT Jacques	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
MCU-PH	DERANSART Colin	Physiologie
PU-PH	DESCOTES Jean-Luc	Urologie
PU-PH	DETANTE Olivier	Neurologie
MCU-PH	DIETERICH Klaus	Génétique et procréation
MCU-PH	DOUTRELEAU Stéphane	Physiologie
MCU-PH	DUMESTRE-PERARD Chantal	Immunologie
PU-PH	EPAULARD Olivier	Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales
PU-PH	ESTEVE François	Biophysique et médecine nucléaire
MCU-PH	EYSSERIC Hélène	Médecine légale et droit de la santé
PU-PH	FAGRET Daniel	Biophysique et médecine nucléaire
PU-PH	FAUCHERON Jean-Luc	Chirurgie générale
MCU-PH	FAURE Julien	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
PU-PH	FERRETTI Gilbert	Radiologie et imagerie médicale
PU-PH	FEUERSTEIN Claude	Physiologie
PU-PH	FONTAINE Éric	Nutrition
PU-PH	FRANCOIS Patrice	Epidémiologie, économie de la santé et prévention
MCU-MG	GABOREAU Yoann	Médecine Générale
PU-PH	GARBAN Frédéric	Hématologie, transfusion
PU-PH	GAUDIN Philippe	Rhumatologie
PU-PH	GAVAZZI Gaëtan	Gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement
PU-PH	GAY Emmanuel	Neurochirurgie
MCU-PH	GILLOIS Pierre	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
PU-PH	GIOT Jean-Philippe	Chirurgie plastique, reconstructrice et esthétique
MCU-PH	GRAND Sylvie	Radiologie et imagerie médicale
PU-PH	GRIFFET Jacques	Chirurgie infantile
MCU-PH	GUZUN Rita	Endocrinologie, diabétologie, nutrition, éducation thérapeutique
PU-PH	HAINAUT Pierre	Biochimie, biologie moléculaire
PU-PH	HALIMI Serge	Nutrition
PU-PH	HENNEBICQ Sylviane	Génétique et procréation
PU-PH	HOFFMANN Pascale	Gynécologie obstétrique
PU-PH	HOMMEL Marc	Neurologie
PU-MG	IMBERT Patrick	Médecine Générale
PU-PH	JOUK Pierre-Simon	Génétique

CORPS	NOM-PRENUM	Discipline universitaire
PU-PH	JUVIN Robert	Rhumatologie
PU-PH	KAHANE Philippe	Physiologie
MCU-PH	KASTLER Adrian	Radiologie et imagerie médicale
PU-PH	KRAJNIK Alexandre	Radiologie et imagerie médicale
PU-PH	LABARERE José	Epidémiologie ; Eco. de la Santé
MCU-PH	LABLANCHE Sandrine	Endocrinologie, diabète et maladies métaboliques
MCU-PH	LANDELLE Caroline	Bactériologie - virologie
MCU-PH	LARDY Bernard	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
MCU - PH	LE PISSART Audrey	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
PU-PH	LECCIA Marie-Thérèse	Dermato-vénérologie
PU-PH	LEROUX Dominique	Génétique
PU-PH	LEROY Vincent	Gastro-entérologie, hépatologie, addictologie
PU-PH	LETOUBLON Christian	Chirurgie digestive et viscérale
PU-PH	LEVY Patrick	Physiologie
PU-PH	LONG Jean-Alexandre	Urologie
MCU-PH	LUPO Julien	Virologie
PU-PH	MAGNE Jean-Luc	Chirurgie vasculaire
MCU-PH	MAIGNAN Maxime	Médecine d'urgence
PU-PH	MAITRE Anne	Médecine et santé au travail
MCU-PH	MALLARET Marie-Reine	Epidémiologie, économie de la santé et prévention
PU-PH	MALLION Jean-Michel	Cardiologie
MCU-PH	MARLU Raphaël	Hématologie, transfusion
MCU-PH	MAUBON Danièle	Parasitologie et mycologie
PU-PH	MAURIN Max	Bactériologie - virologie
MCU-PH	MC LEER Anne	Cytologie et histologie
PU-PH	MORAND Patrice	Bactériologie - virologie
PU-PH	MOREAU-GAUDRY Alexandre	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
PU-PH	MORO Elena	Neurologie
PU-PH	MORO-SIBILOT Denis	Pneumologie
PU-PH	MOUSSEAU Mireille	Cancérologie
PU-PH	MOUTET François	Chirurgie plastique, reconstructrice et esthétique ; brûlologie
MCU-PH	PACLET Marie-Hélène	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
PU-PH	PALOMBI Olivier	Anatomie
PU-PH	PARK Sophie	Hémato - transfusion
PU-PH	PASSAGGIA Jean-Guy	Anatomie
PU-PH	PAYEN DE LA GARANDERIE Jean-François	Anesthésiologie réanimation
MCU-PH	PAYSANT François	Médecine légale et droit de la santé
MCU-PH	PELLETIER Laurent	Biologie cellulaire
PU-PH	PELLOUX Hervé	Parasitologie et mycologie
PU-PH	PEPIN Jean-Louis	Physiologie
PU-PH	PERENNOU Dominique	Médecine physique et de réadaptation
PU-PH	PERNOD Gilles	Médecine vasculaire
PU-PH	PIOLAT Christian	Chirurgie infantile
PU-PH	PISON Christophe	Pneumologie
PU-PH	PLANTAZ Dominique	Pédiatrie
PU-PH	POIGNARD Pascal	Virologie
PU-PH	POLACK Benoît	Hématologie

CORPS	NOM-PRENOM	Discipline universitaire
PU-PH	POLOSAN Mircea	Psychiatrie d'adultes
PU-PH	PONS Jean-Claude	Gynécologie obstétrique
PU-PH	RAMBEAUD Jean-Jacques	Urologie
PU-PH	RAY Pierre	Biologie et médecine du développement et de la reproduction
MCU-PH	RENDU John	Biochimie et Biologie Moléculaire
MCU-PH	RIALLE Vincent	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de communication
PU-PH	RIGHINI Christian	Oto-rhino-laryngologie
PU-PH	ROMANET Jean Paul	Ophthalmologie
PU-PH	ROSTAING Lionel	Néphrologie
MCU-PH	ROUSTIT Matthieu	Pharmacologie fondamentale, pharmaco clinique, addictologie
MCU-PH	ROUX-BUISSON Nathalie	Biochimie, toxicologie et pharmacologie
MCU-PH	RUBIO Amandine	Pédiatrie
PU-PH	SARAGAGLIA Dominique	Chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologie
MCU-PH	SATRE Véronique	Génétique
PU-PH	SAUDOU Frédéric	Biologie Cellulaire
PU-PH	SCHMERBER Sébastien	Oto-rhino-laryngologie
PU-PH	SCOLAN Virginie	Médecine légale et droit de la santé
MCU-PH	SEIGNEURIN Arnaud	Epidémiologie, économie de la santé et prévention
PU-PH	STAHL Jean-Paul	Maladies infectieuses, maladies tropicales
PU-PH	STANKE Françoise	Pharmacologie fondamentale
MCU-PH	STASIA Marie-José	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
PU-PH	STURM Nathalie	Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques
PU-PH	TAMISIER Renaud	Physiologie
PU-PH	TERZI Nicolas	Réanimation
MCU-PH	TOFFART Anne-Claire	Pneumologie
PU-PH	TONETTI Jérôme	Chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologie
PU-PH	TOUSSAINT Bertrand	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
PU-PH	VANZETTO Gérald	Cardiologie
PU-PH	VULLEZ Jean-Philippe	Biophysique et médecine nucléaire
PU-PH	WEIL Georges	Epidémiologie, économie de la santé et prévention
PU-PH	ZAOUT Philippe	Néphrologie
PU-PH	ZARSKI Jean-Pierre	Gastro-entérologie, hépatologie, addictologie

PU-PH : Professeur des Universités et Praticiens Hospitaliers
MCU-PH : Maître de Conférences des Universités et Praticiens Hospitaliers
PU-MG : Professeur des Universités de Médecine Générale
MCU-MG : Maître de Conférences des Universités de Médecine Générale



SERMENT D'HIPPOCRATE

En présence des Maîtres de cette Faculté, de mes chers condisciples et devant l'effigie d'HIPPOCRATE,

Je promets et je jure d'être fidèle aux lois de l'honneur et de la probité dans l'exercice de la Médecine.

Je donnerai mes soins gratuitement à l'indigent et n'exigerai jamais un salaire au dessus de mon travail. Je ne participerai à aucun partage clandestin d'honoraires.

Admis dans l'intimité des maisons, mes yeux n'y verront pas ce qui s'y passe ; ma langue taira les secrets qui me seront confiés et mon état ne servira pas à corrompre les mœurs, ni à favoriser le crime.

Je ne permettrai pas que des considérations de religion, de nation, de race, de parti ou de classe sociale viennent s'interposer entre mon devoir et mon patient.

Je garderai le respect absolu de la vie humaine.

Même sous la menace, je n'admettrai pas de faire usage de mes connaissances médicales contre les lois de l'humanité.

Respectueux et reconnaissant envers mes Maîtres, je rendrai à leurs enfants l'instruction que j'ai reçue de leurs pères.

Que les hommes m'accordent leur estime si je suis fidèle à mes promesses.

Que je sois couvert d'opprobre et méprisé de mes confrères si j'y manque.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	8
RESUME	9
ABSTRACT	10
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	11
REFERENCES	16
PART I	18
PART II	20
PART III	22
GENERAL DISCUSSION	24
NAVIGATION AND PATIENT-SPECIFIC IMPLANTS	25
PERSPECTIVES FOR ORTHOPILOT™ NAVIGATION SYSTEM	28
CONCLUSION	29
REFERENCES	30
CONCLUSION SIGNEE	33

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AR : Augmented-Reality

AVE : Anterior Vertical Excess

BSSO : Bilateral Sagittal Split Osteotomy

CAD/CAM : Computer-Aided Design / Computer-Aided Manufacturing

CAS : Computer-Assisted Surgery

ONS : Orthopilot™ Navigation System

PSI : Patient-Specific Implants

PVE : Posterior Vertical Excess

Ra : axial Rotation

Rf : frontal Rotation

Rs : sagittal Rotation

Tbf : back / front Translation

Trl : right / left Translation

Ttb : top / bottom Translation

RESUME

La chirurgie assistée par ordinateur a une place toujours plus importante dans notre pratique quotidienne. Nous utilisons en routine le système de navigation Orthopilot™ (ONS) au cours de nos chirurgies orthognatiques. Le but de notre travail, au travers de trois publications internationales, était d'explorer les différents intérêts de cet outil. Dans une première partie, nous avons démontré l'intérêt pédagogique de l'ONS dans l'apprentissage du repositionnement condylien au cours des ostéotomies mandibulaires. L'utilisation de l'ONS permet aux jeunes chirurgiens d'améliorer leur précision et leur gestuelle chirurgicale. Nous avons ensuite mesuré, grâce à la navigation, le degré d'imprécision du transfert de planification des ostéotomies de Le Fort I via les gouttières occlusales traditionnelles. Nous avons montré que le transfert était plus imprécis dans le plan sagittal. Cette imprécision était également corrélée à l'importance du déplacement du maxillaire. Enfin, lors de la dernière partie de ce travail, nous avons démontré que l'ONS, utilisé de manière semi-active, permettait d'améliorer la précision du repositionnement du maxillaire lors de ces mêmes ostéotomies. Ce travail a donc permis de démontrer différentes formes de l'apport de l'ONS en chirurgie orthognatique mais aussi certaines de ces limites actuelles. Avec les évolutions technologiques, il est tout à fait envisageable de l'améliorer en y intégrant des données de planification 3D et en optimisant l'ergonomie, notamment par le biais de la réalité virtuelle.

Mots-clés : Chirurgie orthognatique; chirurgie assistée par ordinateur; navigation chirurgicale; planification chirurgicale; formation chirurgicale; ostéotomies de Le Fort I; repositionnement condylien

ABSTRACT

Computer-assisted surgery is increasingly widespread in our everyday practice. We routinely use the Orthopilot™ Navigation System (ONS) during our orthognathic surgeries. The aim of our work, through three international publications, was to explore the different interests of this tool. In a first part, we demonstrated the pedagogical interest of the ONS to learn condylar repositioning during mandibular osteotomies. The use of ONS allowed trainees to improve their accuracy and surgical skills. In a second part, we measured, thanks to the navigation, the inaccuracy of planning transfer of Le Fort I osteotomies with traditional occlusal splints. We showed that the transfer was more inaccurate in the sagittal plane. This inaccuracy was also more important in case of larger maxillary movements. Finally, during the last part of this work, we demonstrated that the ONS, used semi-actively, could improve the accuracy of the maxilla repositioning during these same osteotomies. This work demonstrated many benefits of the ONS but also some of its current limitations. With the technological evolutions, it is quite possible to improve it by integrating 3D planning data and by optimizing the ergonomics, notably through the augmented-reality.

Key-words : orthognathic surgery ; computer-assisted surgery, surgical navigation ; surgical planning ; surgical education ; Le Fort I osteotomy ; condyle repositioning

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The early 90s witnessed the development of Computer-Assisted Surgery (CAS) in a wide range of specialties including maxillofacial surgery (1). CAS could be defined by the integration of computer technologies within the patient surgical management in order to improve its planning, achievement and assessment accuracies. CAS is particularly useful for orthognathic surgery because the local anatomy is complex, complicating the planning treatment. Computer technologies are involved in several or in all of the stages of the patient management (2-4). The figure 1 shows this sequence.




Figure 1 Computer-Assisted Surgery sequence

Initially, digital technologies and computer software were used to make a diagnosis and to establish a treatment plan, integrating various imaging data, e.g. 3D CT-scan, laser scan or Cone-Beam.

The second step was to improve the accuracy of the transfer of surgical planning to the operating room with an intra-operative assistance. This interactive assistance took different forms:

- A *passive assistance* to control the surgical gesture or its outcome with the use of Computer-Aided Designed/Computer-Aided Manufacturing (CAD/CAM) surgical guides or splints, patient-specific implants and navigation.
- A *semi-active assistance* to guide the surgical gesture to achieve the planned outcome as done by a navigation system used as a positioning tool.
- An *active assistance* with surgical robots which could autonomously perform operative steps.

The final step is the post-operative assessment comparing the final surgical outcome to the planning and permitting the validation of the previous steps.

Computer-Assisted Navigation system has been gradually recognized in oral and maxillo-facial surgery (5-7). Indeed, it was reported that navigation could improve surgical reliability and patient safety (8).

The principle of Navigation is comparable to global positioning systems commonly used in automobiles and is composed of 3 primary components: a localizer, analogous to a satellite in space; an instrument or a surgical probe, representing

track waves emitted by global positioning system unit in vehicle; and an imaging data set or a reference position, analogous to a road map.

The Navigation system allows real-time localizing of a surgical instrument in the operating field as well as representing its position in a virtual model. This model is created using the imaging data of the patient (image-based navigation) or a reference position of a structure previously recorded (imageless navigation).

There are different types of navigation systems according to the technology involved:

- A mechanical navigation
- An electromagnetic navigation
- A video-optical navigation

Video-optical systems are the most popular.

The intra-operative assistance, as seen above, could be either passive or semi-active. Passive systems are now frequently used in particular for trauma or cancer and reconstructive surgery. Semi-active systems are more rare and there are only a few studies about them.

Our work was focused on the utilization and the benefits of navigation during orthognathic surgery.

We routinely use in our unit the OrthopilotTM Navigation System (ONS) for condyle repositioning during bilateral sagittal split osteotomy. Its accuracy and its benefit for anatomical and functional outcomes have been previously demonstrated by Bettega et al. (9-11). This system has also been used to control the position of the maxilla during Le Fort I osteotomies.

Our present work is divided in three sections corresponding to three international publications. The first one dealt with the educational interest of the navigation system to learn condyle repositioning after mandibular sagittal split osteotomies.

This article was published in the *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* (12). The second section evaluated the accuracy of traditional occlusal splints for maxillary repositioning in Le Fort 1 osteotomy. This article was published in the *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* (13). The last one concerned the improvement of this positioning thanks to the navigation system. The manuscript was submitted in the *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* and currently under review. We concluded with a discussion comparing navigation and patient-specific implants for orthognathic surgery and by the possible evolution expected with the new technologies currently available.

REFERENCES

1. Schlondorff G, Mosges R, Meyer-Ebrecht D, et al. [CAS (computer assisted surgery). A new procedure in head and neck surgery]. *HNO*. 1989 May;37(5):187-90. PubMed PMID: 2732101. CAS (computer assisted surgery). Ein neuartiges Verfahren in der Kopf- und Halschirurgie.
2. Hassfeld S, Muhling J. Computer assisted oral and maxillofacial surgery--a review and an assessment of technology. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2001 Feb;30(1):2-13. PubMed PMID: 11289616.
3. Heiland M, Habermann CR, Schmelzle R. Indications and limitations of intraoperative navigation in maxillofacial surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2004 Sep;62(9):1059-63. PubMed PMID: 15346354.
4. Lin HH, Lo LJ. Three-dimensional computer-assisted surgical simulation and intraoperative navigation in orthognathic surgery: a literature review. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2015 Apr;114(4):300-7. PubMed PMID: 25744942.
5. Ewers R, Schicho K, Undt G, et al. Basic research and 12 years of clinical experience in computer-assisted navigation technology: a review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2005 Jan;34(1):1-8. PubMed PMID: 15617960.
6. Azarmehr I, Stokbro K, Bell RB, Thygesen T. Surgical Navigation: A Systematic Review of Indications, Treatments, and Outcomes in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2017 Sep;75(9):1987-2005. PubMed PMID: 28193444.
7. Sukegawa S, Kanno T, Furuki Y. Application of computer-assisted navigation systems in oral and maxillofacial surgery. *Jpn Dent Sci Rev*. 2018 Aug;54(3):139-49. PubMed PMID: 30128061. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC6094868.
8. Yu H, Shen SG, Wang X, et al. The indication and application of computer-assisted navigation in oral and maxillofacial surgery-Shanghai's experience based on 104 cases. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*. 2013 Dec;41(8):770-4. PubMed PMID: 23462802.
9. Bettega G, Dessenne V, Raphael B, Cinquin P. Computer-assisted mandibular condyle positioning in orthognathic surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1996 May;54(5):553-8. PubMed PMID: 8632238.
10. Bettega G, Cinquin P, Lebeau J, Raphael B. Computer-assisted orthognathic surgery: clinical evaluation of a mandibular condyle repositioning system. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2002 Jan;60(1):27-34; discussion -5. PubMed PMID: 11757002.

11. Bettega G, Leitner F. [Computer assisted orthognathic surgery: Condyle repositioning.]. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac Chir Orale*. 2013 Jul 17. PubMed PMID: 23871566. Chirurgie orthognathique assistee par ordinateur : le repositionnement condylien.
12. Lartizien R, Zaccaria I, Savoldelli C, et al. Learning condyle repositioning during orthognathic surgery with a surgical navigation system. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019 Feb 9. PubMed PMID: 30755359.
13. Lartizien R, Zaccaria I, Noyelles L, Bettega G. Quantification of the inaccuracy of conventional articulator model surgery in Le Fort 1 osteotomy: evaluation of 30 patients controlled by the Orthopilot((R)) navigation system. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019 Sep;57(7):672-7. PubMed PMID: 31256987.

PART I

Learning condyle repositioning during orthognathic surgery
with a surgical navigation system

ABSTRACT

Condyle repositioning during bilateral sagittal splint osteotomy (BSSO) is a challenging step for the inexperienced surgeon. We aimed at demonstrating the benefit of navigation for learning the condyle repositioning. We treated 100 patients who underwent a BSSO. A trainee performed the condyle repositioning of one side in two phases. In the first one the trainee positioned without watching the screen of the Orthopilot Navigation system (ONS). In the second one, the trainee could use the ONS to replace the condyle. Heuristic, anatomical and functional scores of each phase were recorded. Heuristic (17% vs 75%; $p < 0.0001$), anatomical (35% vs 86%; $p < 0.0001$) and functional (14% vs 56%; $p < 0.0001$) scores were significantly greater with the ONS. The ONS is a promising and original intra-operative learning tool for the repositioning of the condyle during BSSO.

Keywords: orthognathic, surgery; computer-assisted, surgery; condyle repositioning; surgical education; medical education

Full length article: Lartzien R, Zaccaria I, Savoldelli C, Noyelles L, Chamorey E, Cracowski JL and Bettega G ; Learning condyle repositioning during orthognathic surgery with a surgical navigation system. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2019 Feb 9. PubMed PMID: 30755359. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2019.01.018>
[https://www.ijoms.com/article/S0901-5027\(19\)30035-9/fulltext](https://www.ijoms.com/article/S0901-5027(19)30035-9/fulltext)

PART II

Quantification of the inaccuracy of conventional model surgery in Le Fort I osteotomy : evaluation of 30 patients controlled by the Orthopilot navigation system

ABSTRACT

Occlusal splints are commonly used during traditional orthognathic surgery to position the maxilla. We had for aim to quantify with the Orthopilot™ navigation system the inaccuracy of the maxillary positioning (in 3 dimensions) in traditional model surgery. 30 Le Fort I osteotomies were performed with a standard technique. The position of the maxilla was recorded by the navigation system and defined by 3 values of translation and 3 values of rotation. The recorded data was compared to the planned data. The accuracy of positioning was classified in distinct classes with three major criteria (conformity, non-conformity, failure) according to the discrepancy. The positioning of the maxilla was in conformity with operative planning in 10% CI95 [2%-27%] of our Le Fort I osteotomies and in failure in 73% CI95 [54%-88%]. The dispersion of the discrepancy was more important in the sagittal plane especially for the sagittal rotation and for the back front translation, reflecting a greater inaccuracy in this plan. The frontal orientation of the maxilla was better controlled. The risk of maxillary malposition was proportional to the planned maxillary advancement.

Keywords: orthognathic, surgery; maxillary repositioning; 3D analysis; Le Fort I osteotomy; computer-assisted, surgery; occlusal splints; conventional articulator model surgery

Full length article: Lartizien R, Zaccaria I, Noyelles L, Bettega G, Quantification of the inaccuracy of conventional articulator model surgery in Le Fort 1 osteotomy: evaluation of 30 patients controlled by the Orthopilot™ navigation system. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2019 Sep;57(7):672-7. PubMed PMID: 31256987.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2019.06.012>

[https://www.bjoms.com/article/S0266-4356\(19\)30234-7/fulltext](https://www.bjoms.com/article/S0266-4356(19)30234-7/fulltext)

PART III

Accuracy improvement of maxillary repositioning in Le Fort I osteotomy
with Orthopilot™ Navigation System : evaluation of 30 patients

ABSTRACT

Traditional model surgery with facebow transfer is not very accurate. We aimed to demonstrate that the Orthopilot™ Navigation System (ONS) improves the accuracy of maxillary repositioning during Le Fort I osteotomy. 30 patients underwent Le Fort I osteotomy alone or associated to sagittal split osteotomy. The maxilla positioning was performed in two phases. First, the maxilla was positioned with the traditional occlusal splint and the position (“without ONS”) was recorded by the ONS. In the second phase, ONS was used to improve positioning; and the final position (“with ONS”) was recorded, after osteosynthesis. Positioning data were compared to planning data. Positioning data with and without ONS were also compared. Accuracy was classified in distinct classes with three major criteria (conformity, non-conformity, failure) according to the discrepancies. Conformity rate was significantly greater with ONS (7% without ONS vs 27% with ONS; $p=0.01$). Failure rate was significantly lower with ONS (60% without ONS vs 23% with ONS; $p=0.002$). Dispersions of discrepancies were usually lower in all direction with ONS. Navigation reduced the risk of discrepancy without cancelling it, especially when large movements are planned. The ONS improved the accuracy of traditional occlusal splint during Le Fort I osteotomy.

Keywords: orthognathic surgery; computer assisted surgery ; Le Fort I osteotomy ; maxillary repositioning ; accuracy ; 3D analysis

Manuscript submitted to the International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

We had explored different contribution of Orthopilot™ navigation system to orthognathic surgery. We will discuss the place of navigation in current clinical practice taking into account the new technologies currently available.

Navigation and Patient-specific implants

The development of computer-assisted surgery in orthognathic surgery was made possible by the lack of accuracy and reliability of traditional model surgery (1, 2) and by the emergence of new technologies. There are three main approaches: 1) 3D virtual planning and CAD/CAM occlusal splint; 2) 3D virtual planning, surgical guides and patient-specific implants (PSI); 3) 3D intraoperative navigation. The use of CAD/CAM occlusal splint avoid inaccuracies inherent to model surgery but there are still issues due to the occlusal splints (3, 4). PSI and navigation could overcome this problem. One may wonder what the best method is.

It is difficult to compare precisely the two methods. The first difficulty is the multiplicity of the available systems. There is also a lack of consensus for accuracy assessment and the level of evidence of the current literature is very low. Several papers had reported that PSI could accurately transfer the surgical plan for maxillary repositioning (5-7) better than traditional (8) and CAD/CAM (9) occlusal splints. However, we noticed that, as traditional model surgery, PSI are less accurate in the sagittal plan (5, 9). We must keep in mind that, despite the statistical significance, the clinical benefits seemed less important (8). However, in most of these papers, the validity of the statistical analysis is questionable; especially when accuracy is evaluate by mean values comparison. The reduction of operative time is another advantage of PSI. In their systematic

review, Van den Bempt et al. (10) concluded: « the reduction in operating time gives this technique the potential to replace interocclusal splints as the standard of care ». Ergonomics of current PSI are also notable. Concerning condyle repositioning during BSSO with PSI, there are only few studies assessing their accuracy (6) and no one evaluated the surgical outcomes. Moreover, Suojanen et al. (11) did not recommend the use of PSI for BSSO without splint due to poor fitting. PSI seemed to be more suitable in case of high oblique sagittal split osteotomy. New types of PSI for mandible are promising and need to be evaluated (12). It is also interesting to note that splint-less surgery with PSI do not take the occlusion as an intra-operative reference. Thus, performing maxillomandibular or mandibular procedure with PSI can lead to unacceptable final occlusion. That is why several authors (5, 8) did not use PSI for BSSO. Another drawback of PSI is its lack of intraoperative flexibility. Indeed, unexpected events, such as bad-split for example, could make their use impossible. This is particularly critical because PSI allow inexperienced surgeon to perform orthognathic surgery alone. Moreover, their pedagogical interest was not demonstrated and there is a risk of loosing preoperative thinking. PSI are also costly but this issue can be tempered by the reduction of operative room occupation, nevertheless for the moment, the gain of time does not compensate the extra cost.

The use of navigation during Le Fort I osteotomies was assessed in several studies. The accuracy for planning transfer with passive (13, 14) or semi-active (15) systems was emphasized. Furthermore, Zinser et al. had shown that their system of semi-active navigation was more accurate than occlusal splints from traditional model surgery (16). The outcomes achieved with ONS were also promising. However, there is still some difficulty to stabilize manually the maxilla during the

osteosynthesis. The other drawback we have discussed previously is that 2D planning could lead to inaccuracy, but this is now solved by the generalization of CT-scan 3D planning. Concerning the condyle repositioning, the improvement of anatomical and functional outcomes with ONS was demonstrated previously (17, 18). We also highlighted its pedagogical potential. The major drawback of navigation is the increase of surgical time. It needs only 5 to 10 minutes to install the navigation tools. However, the most time consuming is the time necessary to position accurately the bone fragment (maxillary or condyle); in some condition (mostly in asymmetric dysmorphism), it is necessary to remodel bone pieces in order to optimize the positioning. The cost of the system is not a challenge; the hardware is now very common and not expensive and the cost of the consumable is very low (few euros per surgery). The problem is the availability of the system; this market is not yet considered by the industrial. The OrthopilotTM system is based on infrared technology; other systems are in study. In particular, electromagnetically systems were evaluated in several pilot-studies (19, 20). Their benefit are not well established, they had, as the first infrared system, the major inconvenient to need a cable connection to the monitor. Their accuracy is impaired by the proximity of metallic tools, which is problematic in our surgery, and the field generator needs to be closed to the head, which is quite cumbersome.

However, there is a lack of study comparing navigation to PSI. During this work, we have also noticed that the primary outcome used in the major part of previous studies did not seem suitable for assessing accuracy. Indeed, as seen above, analysis based on mean or absolute mean of the discrepancy from the planned position could be confusing. Analysis of the distribution of discrepancies in each dimension given in those studies is more relevant. It would be interesting to evaluate

the accuracy of PSI with the nine classes we used, and compare it to accuracy of ONS. Thus, we planned a prospective study on this subject. But, interestingly, while PSI are routinely used in orthognathic and reconstructive surgery, the ANSM did not give us the authorization to perform this study because the industrial could not prove the safety of PSI; especially concerning titanium degradation products after additive manufacturing process! One may lead to question about the difference between research and clinical practice.

Finally, the two approaches could be complementary. We can imagine a maxilla-first procedure with PSI for the maxilla and navigation for the condyle repositioning in order to add up the advantages of each method in terms of accuracy and clinical outcomes. However, the current high cost of such an approach may be an obstacle and could be reserved to difficult cases.

Perspectives for Orthopilot™ Navigation System

The most popular form of computer-assisted orthognathic surgery is the combination of virtual planning, surgical guide and PSI. Semi-active navigation systems dedicated to orthognathic surgery are still very uncommon. Our work highlighted different benefits of ONS in orthognathic surgery, as well as some limitations of its current configuration. New technologies will allow improving this device. The integration of 3D planning would enhance the accuracy of planning transfer, especially in case of Le Fort I osteotomy. By adapting the ancillary, it would also permit to expand the ONS applications such as to traumatology surgery for example. The recent years witnessed the emergence of augmented-reality (AR)

(21). Although this technology needs some improvement, the first results are promising. It is quite possible to imagine an association of AR and navigation, enhancing the ergonomics of the system and the intraoperative perception of the surgeon. Overlay of planned position in the surgeon's visual field or discrepancies scores, via AR glasses, are some example of future possible evolutions. Technologies miniaturization could even allow to place the optical localizer on the AR glass in order to reduce the issue of visual contact between those localizer and the reflective markers.

Conclusion

Through three international publications, we explored different benefits of the Orthopilot™ navigation system in orthognathic surgery. In a first work we evaluated the pedagogical interest of this navigation system in the learning of condylar repositioning during mandibular osteotomies. In a second work we measured, with this tool, the inaccuracy of planning transfer of Le Fort I osteotomies via traditional occlusal splints, in order to evaluate our clinical practice. The last part of our work consisted in demonstrating that the navigation, used in a semi-active way, could improve the accuracy of maxilla repositioning during these same osteotomies. In view of current technological developments, it is quite possible to improve this navigation system by incorporating 3D planning data become current and optimizing ergonomics, including through augmented-reality.

REFERENCES

1. Barbenel, J. C., Paul, P. E., Khambay, B. S., Walker, F. S., Moos, K. F., Ayoub, A. F. Errors in orthognathic surgery planning: the effect of inaccurate study model orientation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;39:1103-1108.
2. Sharifi, A., Jones, R., Ayoub, A., et al. How accurate is model planning for orthognathic surgery? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;37:1089-1093.
3. Swennen, G. R., Mollemans, W., Schutyser, F. Three-dimensional treatment planning of orthognathic surgery in the era of virtual imaging. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;67:2080-2092.
4. Schouman, T., Rouch, P., Imholz, B., Fasel, J., Courvoisier, D., Scolozzi, P. Accuracy evaluation of CAD/CAM generated splints in orthognathic surgery: a cadaveric study. *Head Face Med* 2015;11:24.
5. Heufelder, M., Wilde, F., Pietzka, S., et al. Clinical accuracy of waferless maxillary positioning using customized surgical guides and patient specific osteosynthesis in bimaxillary orthognathic surgery. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2017;45:1578-1585.
6. Li, B., Shen, S., Jiang, W., et al. A new approach of splint-less orthognathic surgery using a personalized orthognathic surgical guide system: A preliminary study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;46:1298-1305.
7. Mazzoni, S., Bianchi, A., Schiariti, G., Badiali, G., Marchetti, C. Computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing cutting guides and customized titanium plates are useful in upper maxilla waferless repositioning. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015;73:701-707.
8. Hanafy, M., Akoush, Y., Abou-EIFetouh, A., Mounir, R. M. Precision of orthognathic digital plan transfer using patient-specific cutting guides and osteosynthesis versus mixed analogue-digitally planned surgery: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019.
9. Ruckschloss, T., Ristow, O., Muller, M., et al. Accuracy of patient-specific implants and additive-manufactured surgical splints in orthognathic surgery - A three-dimensional retrospective study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2019;47:847-853.
10. Van den Bempt, M., Liebrechts, J., Maal, T., Berge, S., Xi, T. Toward a higher accuracy in orthognathic surgery by using intraoperative computer navigation, 3D surgical guides, and/or customized osteosynthesis plates: A systematic review. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2018;46:2108-2119.

11. Suojanen, J., Leikola, J., Stoor, P. The use of patient-specific implants in orthognathic surgery: A series of 30 mandible sagittal split osteotomy patients. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2017;45:990-994.
12. Savoldelli, C., Vandersteen, C., Dassonville, O., Santini, J. Dental occlusal-surface-supported titanium guide to assist cutting and drilling in mandibular bilateral sagittal split osteotomy. *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;119:75-78.
13. Mazzoni, S., Badiali, G., Lancellotti, L., Babbi, L., Bianchi, A., Marchetti, C. Simulation-guided navigation: a new approach to improve intraoperative three-dimensional reproducibility during orthognathic surgery. *J Craniofac Surg* 2010;21:1698-1705.
14. Badiali, G., Roncari, A., Bianchi, A., Taddei, F., Marchetti, C., Schileo, E. Navigation in Orthognathic Surgery: 3D Accuracy. *Facial Plast Surg* 2015;31:463-473.
15. Li, B., Zhang, L., Sun, H., Shen, S. G., Wang, X. A new method of surgical navigation for orthognathic surgery: optical tracking guided free-hand repositioning of the maxillomandibular complex. *J Craniofac Surg* 2014;25:406-411.
16. Zinser, M. J., Sailer, H. F., Ritter, L., Braumann, B., Maegele, M., Zoller, J. E. A paradigm shift in orthognathic surgery? A comparison of navigation, computer-aided designed/computer-aided manufactured splints, and "classic" intermaxillary splints to surgical transfer of virtual orthognathic planning. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;71:2151 e2151-2121.
17. Bettega, G., Cinquin, P., Lebeau, J., Raphael, B. Computer-assisted orthognathic surgery: clinical evaluation of a mandibular condyle repositioning system. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002;60:27-34; discussion 34-25.
18. Bettega, G., Leitner, F. [Computer assisted orthognathic surgery: Condyle repositioning.]. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac Chir Orale* 2013.
19. Berger, M., Nova, I., Kallus, S., et al. Electromagnetic navigated condylar positioning after high oblique sagittal split osteotomy of the mandible: a guided method to attain pristine temporomandibular joint conditions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2017.
20. Berger, M., Nova, I., Kallus, S., et al. Can electromagnetic-navigated maxillary positioning replace occlusional splints in orthognathic surgery? A clinical pilot study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2017;45:1593-1599.

21. Pietruski, P., Majak, M., Swiatek-Najwer, E., et al. Supporting mandibular resection with intraoperative navigation utilizing augmented reality technology - A proof of concept study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2019;47:854-859.

THÈSE SOUTENUE PAR : Rodolphe LARTIZIEN

TITRE :

APPORT DU SYSTEME DE NAVIGATION ORTHOPILOT™ EN CHIRURGIE ORTHOGNATHIQUE

CONTRIBUTION OF ORTHOPILOT™ NAVIGATION SYSTEM TO ORTHOGNATHIC SURGERY

CONCLUSION :

Au travers de trois publications internationales, nous avons exploré différents intérêts du système de navigation Orthopilot™ en chirurgie orthognathique.

Dans un premier travail nous avons exploré l'intérêt pédagogique de ce système de navigation dans l'apprentissage du repositionnement condylien au cours des ostéotomies mandibulaires.

Nous avons ensuite mesuré, avec cet outil, le degré d'imprécision du transfert de planification des ostéotomies de Le Fort I via les gouttières occlusales traditionnelles, de façon à évaluer notre pratique clinique. La dernière partie de notre travail a consisté à montrer que la navigation, utilisée de manière semi-active, pouvait améliorer la précision du repositionnement du maxillaire lors de ces mêmes ostéotomies.

Au vu des évolutions technologiques actuelles, il est tout à fait envisageable d'améliorer ce système de navigation en y intégrant des données de planification 3D devenues courantes et en optimisant l'ergonomie, notamment par le biais de la réalité virtuelle.

VU ET PERMIS D'IMPRIMER

Grenoble, le : 21/08/19

LE DOYEN
en le Président
et par délégation

Le Doyen de Médecine
Pr. Patrice MORAND

Pr. Patrice MORAND

LE PRÉSIDENT DE LA THÈSE

Pr. Jean-Philippe GIOT

C.H.U GRENOBLE ALPES
Chirurgie Plastique et Maxillo-Faciale
Professeur Jean-Philippe GIOT
RPPS n° 10100160010