



Profils cliniques évolutifs de la pemphigoïde bulleuse : étude rétrospective monocentrique de 312 cas

Élise Dandache

► To cite this version:

Élise Dandache. Profils cliniques évolutifs de la pemphigoïde bulleuse : étude rétrospective monocentrique de 312 cas. Médecine humaine et pathologie. 2018. dumas-02958092

HAL Id: dumas-02958092

<https://dumas.ccsd.cnrs.fr/dumas-02958092>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

UNIVERSITE DE MONTPELLIER
FACULTE DE MEDECINE MONTPELLIER-NIMES

THESE

Pour obtenir le titre de
DOCTEUR EN MEDECINE

Présentée et soutenue publiquement

Par

Elise DANDACHE

Le 23 novembre 2018

**PROFILS CLINIQUES EVOLUTIFS DE LA
PEMPHIGOÏDE BULLEUSE : ETUDE RETROSPECTIVE
MONOCENTRIQUE DE 312 CAS**

Directeur de thèse : Pr Olivier DEREURE

JURY

Président : Pr Olivier DEREURE

Assesseurs : Pr Bernard GUILLOT

Dr Aurélie DU THANH

Dr Céline GIRARD

UNIVERSITE DE MONTPELLIER
FACULTE DE MEDECINE MONTPELLIER-NIMES

THESE

Pour obtenir le titre de
DOCTEUR EN MEDECINE

Présentée et soutenue publiquement

Par

Elise DANDACHE

Le 23 novembre 2018

**PROFILS CLINIQUES EVOLUTIFS DE LA
PEMPHIGOÏDE BULLEUSE : ETUDE RETROSPECTIVE
MONOCENTRIQUE DE 312 CAS**

Directeur de thèse : Pr Olivier DEREURE

JURY

Président : Pr Olivier DEREURE

Assesseurs : Pr Bernard GUILLOT

Dr Aurélie DU THANH

Dr Céline GIRARD

ANNEE UNIVERSITAIRE 2017 - 2018

PERSONNEL ENSEIGNANT

Professeurs Honoraires

ALLIEU Yves
 ALRIC Robert
 ARNAUD Bernard
 ASTRUC Jacques
 AUSSILLOUX Charles
 AVEROUS Michel
 AYRAL Guy
 BAILLAT Xavier
 BALDET Pierre
 BALDY-MOULINIER Michel
 BARMES Jean-Louis
 BARMES Pierre
 BANSARD Nicole
 BAYLET René
 BILLIARD Michel
 BLARD Jean-Marie
 BLAYAC Jean Pierre
 BLOTMAN Francis
 BONNEL François
 BOUDET Charles
 BOURGEOIS Jean-Marie
 BRUEL Jean Michel
 BUREAU Jean-Paul
 BRUNEL Michel
 CALLIS Albert
 CANAUD Bernard
 CASTELNAU Didier
 CHAPTAL Paul-André
 CIURANA Albert-Jean
 CLOT Jacques
 D'ATHIS Françoise
 DEMAILLE Jacques
 DESCOMPS Bernard

DIMEGLIO Alain
 DU CAILAR Jacques
 DUBOIS Jean Bernard
 DUMAS Robert
 DUMAZER Romain
 ECHENNE Bernard
 FABRE Serge
 FREREBEAU Philippe
 GALIFER René Benoît
 GODLEWSKI Guilhem
 GRASSET Daniel
 GROLLEAU-RAOUX Robert
 GUILHOU Jean-Jacques
 HERTAULT Jean
 HUMEAU Claude
 JAFFIOL Claude
 JANBON Charles
 JANBON François
 JARRY Daniel
 JOYEUX Henri
 LAFFARGUE François
 LALLEMANT Jean Gabriel
 LAMARQUE Jean-Louis
 LAPEYRIE Henri
 LESBROS Daniel
 LOPEZ François Michel
 LORiot Jean
 LOUBATIERES Marie Madeleine
 MAGNAN DE BORNIER Bernard
 MARY Henri
 MATHIEU-DAUDE Pierre

MEYNADIER Jean
 MICHEL François-Bernard
 MICHEL Henri
 MION Charles
 MION Henri
 MIRO Luis
 NAVARRO Maurice
 NAVRATIL Henri
 OTHONIEL Jacques
 PAGES Michel
 PEGURET Claude
 POUGET Régis
 PUECH Paul
 PUJOL Henri
 PUJOL Rémy
 RABISCHONG Pierre
 RAMUZ Michel
 RIEU Daniel
 RIOUX Jean-Antoine
 ROCHEFORT Henri
 ROUANET DE VIGNE LAVIT Jean
 Pierre
 SAINT AUBERT Bernard
 SANCHO-GARNIER Hélène
 SANY Jacques
 SENAC Jean-Paul
 SERRE Arlette
 SIMON Lucien
 SOLASSOL Claude
 THEVENET André
 VIDAL Jacques
 VISIER Jean Pierre

Professeurs Emérites

ARTUS Jean-Claude
 BLANC François
 BOULENGER Jean-Philippe
 BOURREL Gérard
 BRINGER Jacques
 CLAUSTRES Mireille
 DAURES Jean-Pierre
 DAUZAT Michel
 DEDET Jean-Pierre
 ELEDJAM Jean-Jacques
 GUERRIER Bernard
 JOURDAN Jacques
 MAURY Michèle
 MILLAT Bertrand
 MARES Pierre
 MONNIER Louis
 PRAT Dominique
 PRATLONG Francine
 PREFAUT Christian

PUJOL Rémy
 ROSSI Michel
 SULTAN Charles
 TOUCHON Jacques
 VOISIN Michel
 ZANCA Michel

Professeurs des Universités - Praticiens Hospitaliers

PU-PH de classe exceptionnelle

ALBAT Bernard - Chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire
 ALRIC Pierre - Chirurgie vasculaire ; médecine vasculaire (option chirurgie vasculaire)
 BACCINO Eric - Médecine légale et droit de la santé
 BASTIEN Patrick - Parasitologie et mycologie
 BONAFE Alain - Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 CAPDEVILA Xavier - Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 COMBE Bernard - Rhumatologie
 COSTA Pierre - Urologie
 COTTALORDA Jérôme - Chirurgie infantile
 COUBES Philippe - Neurochirurgie
 CRAMPETTE Louis - Oto-rhino-laryngologie
 CRISTOL Jean Paul - Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
 DAVY Jean Marc - Cardiologie
 DE LA COUSSAYE Jean Emmanuel - Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 DELAPORTE Eric - Maladies infectieuses ; maladies tropicales
 DE WAZIERES Benoît - Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 DOMERGUE Jacques - Chirurgie générale
 DUFFAU Hugues - Neurochirurgie
 DUJOLS Pierre - Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de la communication
 ELIAOU Jean François - Immunologie
 FABRE Jean Michel - Chirurgie générale
 GUILLOT Bernard - Dermato-vénéréologie
 HAMAMAH Samir-Biologie et Médecine du développement et de la reproduction ; gynécologie médicale
 HEDON Bernard-Gynécologie-obstétrique ; gynécologie médicale
 HERISSON Christian-Médecine physique et de réadaptation
 JABER Samir-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 JEANDEL Claude-Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 JONQUET Olivier-Réanimation ; médecine d'urgence
 JORGENSEN Christian-Thérapeutique ; médecine d'urgence ; addictologie
 KOTZKI Pierre Olivier-Biophysique et médecine nucléaire
 LANDAIS Paul-Epidémiologie, Economie de la santé et Prévention
 LARREY Dominique-Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie ; addictologie
 LEFRANT Jean-Yves-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 LE QUELLEC Alain-Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 MARTY-ANE Charles - Chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire
 MAUDELONDE Thierry - Biologie cellulaire
 MERCIER Jacques - Physiologie
 MESSNER Patrick - Cardiologie
 MOURAD Georges-Néphrologie
 PELISSIER Jacques-Médecine physique et de réadaptation
 RENARD Eric-Endocrinologie, diabète et maladies métaboliques ; gynécologie médicale
 REYNES Jacques-Maladies infectieuses, maladies tropicales
 RIBSTEIN Jean-Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 RIPART Jacques-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 ROUANET Philippe-Cancérologie ; radiothérapie
 SCHVED Jean François-Hématologie; Transfusion
 TAOUREL Patrice-Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 UZIEL Alain -Oto-rhino-laryngologie
 VANDE PERRE Philippe-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière
 YCHOU Marc-Cancérologie ; radiothérapie

PU-PH de 1^{re} classe

AGUILAR MARTINEZ Patricia-Hématologie ; transfusion

AVIGNON Antoine-Nutrition
 AZRIA David -Cancérologie ; radiothérapie
 BAGHDADLI Amaria-Pédopsychiatrie ; addictologie
 BEREGL Jean-Paul-Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 BLAIN Hubert-Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 BLANC Pierre-Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie ; addictologie
 BORIE Frédéric-Chirurgie digestive
 BOULOT Pierre-Gynécologie-obstétrique ; gynécologie médicale
 CAMBONIE Gilles -Pédiatrie
 CAMU William-Neurologie
 CANOVAS François-Anatomie
 CARTRON Guillaume-Hématologie ; transfusion
 CHAMMAS Michel-Chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologique
 COLSON Pascal-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 CORBEAU Pierre-Immunologie
 COSTES Valérie-Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques
 COURTET Philippe-Psychiatrie d'adultes ; addictologie
 CYTEVAL Catherine-Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 DADURE Christophe-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 DAUVILLIERS Yves-Physiologie
 DE TAYRAC Renaud-Gynécologie-obstétrique, gynécologie médicale
 DEMARIA Roland-Chirurgie thoracique et cardio-vasculaire
 DEMOLY Pascal-Pneumologie ; addictologie
 DEREURE Olivier-Dermatologie - vénéréologie
 DROUPY Stéphane -Urologie
 DUCROS Anne-Neurologie -
 FRAPIER Jean-Marc-Chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire
 KLOUCHE Kada-Réanimation ; médecine d'urgence
 KOENIG Michel-Génétique moléculaire
 LABAUGE Pierre- Neurologie
 LAFFONT Isabelle-Médecine physique et de réadaptation
 LAVABRE-BERTRAND Thierry-Cytologie et histologie
 LECLERCQ Florence-Cardiologie
 LEHMANN Sylvain-Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
 LUMBROSO Serge-Biochimie et Biologie moléculaire
 MARIANO-GOULART Denis-Biophysique et médecine nucléaire
 MATECKI Stéfan -Physiologie
 MEUNIER Laurent-Dermato-vénéréologie
 MONDAIN Michel-Oto-rhino-laryngologie
 MORIN Denis-Pédiatrie
 NAVARRO Francis-Chirurgie générale
 PAGEAUX Georges-Philippe-Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie ; addictologie
 PETIT Pierre-Pharmacologie fondamentale ; pharmacologie clinique ; addictologie
 PERNEY Pascal-Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 PUJOL Jean Louis-Pneumologie ; addictologie
 PUJOL Pascal-Biologie cellulaire
 PURPER-OUAKIL Diane-Pédopsychiatrie ; addictologie
 QUERE Isabelle-Chirurgie vasculaire ; médecine vasculaire (option médecine vasculaire)
 SOTTO Albert-Maladies infectieuses ; maladies tropicales
 TOUITOU Isabelle-Génétique
 TRAN Tu-Anh-Pédiatrie
 VERNHET Hélène-Radiologie et imagerie médicale

PU-PH de 2ème classe

ASSENAT Éric-Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie ; addictologie
 BERTHET Jean-Philippe-Chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire
 BOURDIN Arnaud-Pneumologie ; addictologie
 CANAUD Ludovic-Chirurgie vasculaire ; Médecine Vasculaire

CAPDEVIELLE Delphine-Psychiatrie d'Adultes ; addictologie
 CAPTIER Guillaume-Anatomie
 CAYLA Guillaume-Cardiologie
 CHANQUES Gérald-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 COLOMBO Pierre-Emmanuel-Cancérologie ; radiothérapie
 COSTALAT Vincent-Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 COULET Bertrand-Chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologique
 CUVILLON Philippe-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 DAIEN Vincent-Ophtalmologie
 DE VOS John-Cytologie et histologie
 DORANDEU Anne-Médecine légale -
 DUPEYRON Arnaud-Médecine physique et de réadaptation
 FESLER Pierre-Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie
 GARREL Renaud -Oto-rhino-laryngologie
 GAUJOUX Viala Cécile-Rhumatologie
 GENEVIEVE David-Génétique
 GODREUIL Sylvain-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière
 GUILLAUME Sébastien-Urgences et Post urgences psychiatriques -
 GUILPAIN Philippe-Médecine Interne, gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement; addictologie
 GUIU Boris-Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 HAYOT Maurice-Physiologie
 HOUEDE Nadine-Cancérologie ; radiothérapie
 JACOT William-Cancérologie ; Radiothérapie
 JUNG Boris-Réanimation ; médecine d'urgence
 KALFA Nicolas-Chirurgie infantile
 KOUYOUMDJIAN Pascal-Chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologique
 LACHAUD Laurence-Parasitologie et mycologie
 LALLEMANT Benjamin-Oto-rhino-laryngologie
 LAVIGNE Jean-Philippe-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière
 LE MOING Vincent-Maladies infectieuses ; maladies tropicales
 LETOUZEY Vincent-Gynécologie-obstétrique ; gynécologie médicale
 LOPEZ CASTROMAN Jorge-Psychiatrie d'Adultes ; addictologie
 LUKAS Cédric-Rhumatologie
 MAURY Philippe-Chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologique
 MILLET Ingrid-Radiologie et imagerie médicale
 MORANNE Olivier-Néphrologie
 MOREL Jacques -Rhumatologie
 NAGOT Nicolas-Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de la communication
 NOCCA David-Chirurgie digestive
 PANARO Fabrizio-Chirurgie générale
 PARIS Françoise-Biologie et médecine du développement et de la reproduction ; gynécologie médicale
 PASQUIE Jean-Luc-Cardiologie
 PEREZ MARTIN Antonia-Physiologie
 POUDEROUX Philippe-Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie ; addictologie
 PRUDHOMME Michel-Anatomie
 RIGAU Valérie-Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques
 RIVIER François-Pédiatrie
 ROGER Pascal-Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques
 ROSSI Jean François-Hématologie ; transfusion
 ROUBILLE François-Cardiologie
 SEBBANE Mustapha-Anesthésiologie-réanimation
 SEGNAIBIEUX François-Neurochirurgie
 SIRVENT Nicolas-Pédiatrie
 SOLASSOL Jérôme-Biologie cellulaire
 SULTAN Ariane-Nutrition
 THOUVENOT Éric-Neurologie
 THURET Rodolphe-Urologie
 VENAIL Frédéric-Oto-rhino-laryngologie
 VILLAIN Max-Ophtalmologie
 VINCENT Denis -Médecine interne ; gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement, médecine générale, addictologie

VINCENT Thierry-Immunologie
WOJTUSCISZYN Anne-Endocrinologie-diabétologie-nutrition

PROFESSEURS DES UNIVERSITES

1^{re} classe :

COLINGE Jacques - Cancérologie, Signalisation cellulaire et systèmes complexes

2^{ème} classe :

LAOUDJ CHENIVESSE Dalila - Biochimie et biologie moléculaire

VISIER Laurent - Sociologie, démographie

PROFESSEURS DES UNIVERSITES - Médecine générale

1^{re} classe :

LAMBERT Philippe

2^{ème} classe :

AMOUYAL Michel

PROFESSEURS ASSOCIES - Médecine Générale

DAVID Michel

RAMBAUD Jacques

PROFESSEUR ASSOCIE - Médecine

BESSIS Didier - Dermato-vénéréologie)

PERRIGAULT Pierre-François - Anesthésiologie-réanimation ; médecine d'urgence

ROUBERTIE Agathe – Pédiatrie

Maîtres de Conférences des Universités - Praticiens Hospitaliers

MCU-PH Hors classe

CACHEUX-RATABOUL Valère-Génétique

CARRIERE Christian-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière

CHARACHON Sylvie-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière

FABBRO-PERAY Pascale-Epidémiologie, économie de la santé et prévention

HILLAIRES-BUYS Dominique-Pharmacologie fondamentale ; pharmacologie clinique ; addictologie

PELLESTOR Franck-Cytologie et histologie

PUJOL Joseph-Anatomie

RAMOS Jeanne-Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques

RICHARD Bruno-Thérapeutique ; addictologie

RISPAIL Philippe-Parasitologie et mycologie

SEGONDY Michel-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière

STOEBNER Pierre -Dermato-vénéréologie

MCU-PH de 1^{re} classe

ALLARDET-SERVENT Annick-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière

BADIOU Stéphanie-Biochimie et biologie moléculaire

BOUDOUSQ Vincent-Biophysique et médecine nucléaire

BOULLE Nathalie-Biologie cellulaire

BOURGIER Céline-Cancérologie ; Radiothérapie

BRET Caroline -Hématologie biologique

COSSEE Mireille-Génétique Moléculaire

GABELLE DELOUSTAL Audrey-Neurologie

GIANSILY-BLAIZOT Muriel-Hématologie ; transfusion

GIRARDET-BESSIS Anne-Biochimie et biologie moléculaire

LAVIGNE Géraldine-Hématologie ; transfusion

LE QUINTREC Moglie-Néphrologie

MATHIEU Olivier-Pharmacologie fondamentale ; pharmacologie clinique ; addictologie

MENJOT de CHAMPFLEUR Nicolas-Neuroradiologie

MOUZAT Kévin-Biochimie et biologie moléculaire

PANABIERES Catherine-Biologie cellulaire

PHILIBERT Pascal-Biologie et médecine du développement et de la reproduction
 RAVEL Christophe - Parasitologie et mycologie
 SCHUSTER-BECK Iris-Physiologie
 STERKERS Yvon-Parasitologie et mycologie
 TUAILLON Edouard-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière
 YACHOUH Jacques-Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et stomatologie
MCU-PH de 2^{ème} classe
 BERTRAND Martin-Anatomie
 BRUN Michel-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière
 DU THANH Aurélie-Dermato-vénéréologie
 GALANAUD Jean Philippe-Médecine Vasculaire
 GOUZI Farès-Physiologie
 JEZIOFSKI Éric-Pédiatrie
 KUSTER Nils-Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
 LESAGE François-Xavier-Médecine et Santé au Travail
 MAKINSON Alain-Maladies infectieuses, Maladies tropicales
 MURA Thibault-Biostatistiques, informatique médicale et technologies de la communication
 OLIE Emilie-Psychiatrie d'adultes ; addictologie
 THEVENIN-RENE Céline-Immunologie

MAITRES DE CONFERENCES DES UNIVERSITES - Médecine Générale

COSTA David
 FOLCO-LOGNOS Béatrice

MAITRES DE CONFERENCES ASSOCIES - Médecine Générale

CLARY Bernard
 GARCIA Marc
 MILLION Elodie
 PAVAGEAU Sylvain
 REBOUL Marie-Catherine
 SEGURET Pierre

MAITRES DE CONFERENCES DES UNIVERSITES

Maîtres de Conférences hors classe

BADIA Eric - Sciences biologiques fondamentales et cliniques

Maîtres de Conférences de classe normale

BECAMEL Carine - Neurosciences
 BERNEX Florence - Physiologie
 CHAUMONT-DUBEL Séverine - Sciences du médicament et des autres produits de santé
 CHAZAL Nathalie - Biologie cellulaire
 DELABY Constance - Biochimie et biologie moléculaire
 GUGLIELMI Laurence - Sciences biologiques fondamentales et cliniques
 HENRY Laurent - Sciences biologiques fondamentales et cliniques
 LADRET Véronique - Mathématiques appliquées et applications des mathématiques
 LAINE Sébastien - Sciences du Médicament et autres produits de santé
 LE GALLIC Lionel - Sciences du médicament et autres produits de santé
 LOZZA Catherine - Sciences physico-chimiques et technologies pharmaceutiques
 MAIMOUN Laurent - Sciences physico-chimiques et ingénierie appliquée à la santé
 MOREAUX Jérôme - Science biologiques, fondamentales et cliniques
 MORITZ-GASSER Sylvie - Neurosciences
 MOUTOT Gilles - Philosophie
 PASSERIEUX Emilie - Physiologie
 RAMIREZ Jean-Marie - Histologie
 TAULAN Magali - Biologie Cellulaire

PRATICIENS HOSPITALIERS UNIVERSITAIRES

CLAIRE DAIEN-Rhumatologie

BASTIDE Sophie-Epidémiologie, économie de la santé et prévention

FAILLIE Jean-Luc-

Pharmacologie fondamentale ; pharmacologie clinique ; addictologie

GATINOIS Vincent-Histologie, embryologie et cytogénétique

HERLIN Christian -Chirurgie plastique ; reconstructrice et esthétique ; brûlologie

HERRERO Astrid-Chirurgie générale

PANTEL Alix-Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière

PERS Yves-Marie-Thérapeutique, médecine d'urgence ; addictologie

PINETON DE CHAMBRUN Guillaume-Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie ; addictologie

TORRE Antoine-Gynécologie-obstétrique ; gynécologie médicale

REMERCIEMENTS

A l'ensemble des membres du jury, qui m'ont fait l'honneur d'accepter cette fonction et de juger mon travail.

A Monsieur le Professeur Olivier DEREURE

Je vous remercie de m'avoir proposé ce sujet très intéressant et d'avoir été mon directeur de thèse. Je vous remercie également pour votre perspicacité inaltérable, vos connaissances impressionnantes, votre bienveillance. Cela a été pour moi un honneur de travailler avec vous.

A Monsieur le Professeur Bernard GUILLOT

Je vous remercie pour votre accompagnement et pour l'intérêt que vous portez à notre formation depuis le début de mon internat. Votre dévouement envers les patients, votre sens clinique et votre sérénité sont un modèle pour moi.

A Madame le Docteur Aurélie DU THANH

Je te remercie pour tes compétences médicales étendues, rigoureuses et infaillibles ainsi que pour ta disponibilité et ta gentillesse. Les consultations à tes côtés sont toujours d'agréables moments, riches en apprentissage.

A Madame le Docteur Céline GIRARD

Je te remercie de m'avoir aidée à aboutir à ce sujet de thèse. Tu as toujours été disponible et un modèle pour moi tant sur le plan humain que médical. Ta sympathie, ta polyvalence et ton aide précieuses m'ont énormément appris et apporté.

A tous les chefs qui ont contribué à ma formation tout au long de mon cursus :

A Mesdames et Messieurs Professeurs et Docteurs :

Pr Laurent MEUNIER, Pr Pierre STOEBNER, Pr Didier BESSIS, Dr Michel DANDURAND, Dr Candice LESAGE, Dr Bernadette OVTCHINNKOFF, Dr Myriam MARQUE, Dr Nadia RAISON-PEYRON, Dr Valérie PALLURE, Dr Jean-Luc BOURRAIN, Dr Luc DURAND, Dr Christophe DELFOUR

Merci de tout ce que vous m'avez transmis durant ces 4 dernières années. J'espère avoir été digne de la confiance que vous m'avez accordée.

A tout le personnel des services de Dermatologie des CHU de Montpellier et de Nîmes, d'Anatomopathologie du CHU de Montpellier, de Rhumatologie du CHU de Montpellier, de Médecine interne générale du CH de Perpignan

A mes chers collègues et anciens internes ou assistants : Agathe, Alexandre, Alicia, Aline, Alizée, Amandine, Annelies, Anne-Sophie, Anouck, Astrid, Aurélie C., Blanche, Camille, Chloé, Coline, Eleonore, Elsa, Emilie, Emma, Eric, Fawaz, Hugo, Julie, Justine, Laura, Léo-Paul, Louisa, Lucie, Marie D., Marie F, Marion B. et Marion B., Marion P., Mathilde, Mehdi, Melissa, Ondine, Quentin, Sarah, Séverin, Valentin, Valérie R., Vincent

A mes chers amis qui répondent toujours présent : Alice et Bastien, Camille, Claude et Chantal, Clémentine et Paul, Dorian, Marion et Julien, Nico, Pierrick, Sophie et Ben

A mes parents: pour votre amour sans faille, vous m'avez porté tout au long de ma vie. J'espère pouvoir vous le rendre.

A mon frère et à ma soeur, Jad et Célia : unis pour la vie, merci pour tous ces moments passés ensemble et à venir. Que des souvenirs mémorables.

Et à leur moitié, Laura et Julien

A Jesse, pour ton soutien indéfectible. Tu me rends meilleure chaque jour. Ton humour, ton intelligence remplissent ma vie de bonheur et de surprises.

SOMMAIRE

Liste des abréviations françaises

Contexte général

Article

“TIME-RELATED EVOLVING CLINICAL PATTERN OF
BULLOUS PEMPHIGOID: A MONOCENTER RETROSPECTIVE
SURVEY OF 312 CASES”

Abstract

Abbreviations and acronyms list

Introduction

Patients and Methods

Discussion

Conclusion

Tables

References

Serment

Permis d'imprimer

Abstract

LISTE DES ABREVIATIONS FRANCAISES

BPAG1 bullous pemphigoid antigen 1

BPAG2 bullous pemphigoid antigen 2

ELISA Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay

IFD immunofluorescence directe

Ig immunoglobuline

JDE jonction dermo-épidermique

kD kiloDalton

PB Pemphigoïde Bulleuse

PDM pemphigoïde des muqueuses

PNE polynucléaire éosinophile

CONTEXTE GENERAL

La pemphigoïde bulleuse (PB) est la maladie bulleuse auto-immune sous-épidermique la plus fréquente. La PB fait partie d'un groupe de 8 maladies bulleuses auto-immunes sous-épidermiques qui comprend également pemphigoïde gestationnelle, pemphigoïde des muqueuses (PDM), pemphigoïde des enfants, dermatite herpétiforme, dermatose à IgA linéaire, pemphigoïde anti-p200/laminin g1 et épidermolyse bulleuse acquise (1).

Dans la PB, les autoanticorps déposés à la jonction dermo-épidermique sont dirigés contre les héli-desmosomes et entraînent la formation d'une bulle sous-épidermique se développant au sein de la lamina lucida, dans la région supérieure de la membrane basale. Ces autoanticorps sont dirigés contre des protéines de structure des héli-desmosomes, principalement BPAg1 (protéine de 230kD) et BPAg2 (ou collagène XVII, protéine de 180 kD). Le domaine NC16a de l'ectodomaine de la protéine BP180, épitope proche du pôle basal des kératinocytes, a été identifié comme domaine immunodominant dans la PB et est différent de l'épitope-cible des autoanticorps anti-180kd des PDM avec autoanticorps anti-BPAg2 (2,3).

L'incidence dans le monde est estimée à 4,5 à 14 nouveaux cas par million d'habitants (4–9) et par an et en France à 21,7 nouveau cas par million d'habitants et par an, soit 3 fois supérieure à l'incidence estimée il y a 15 ans (10). Il n'y a pas de prédominance de sexe, d'ethnie ou géographique. Le taux de survie cumulée à 1 an est de 62% (10). Le risque de décès est 3 à 6 fois plus important que dans la population générale du même âge et de même sexe, plus en lien avec l'âge, l'état général du patient, les comorbidités neurologiques et les traitements spécifiques de la pemphigoïde bulleuse tels que la prise prolongée de corticostéroïdes systémiques qu'avec la sévérité de la maladie elle-même (10).

La PB est significativement associée à des affections neurologiques, principalement neurodégénératives mais également vasculaires. L'affection neurologique précède la PB dans 50 à 72% des cas, en moyenne 5,5 ans avant le diagnostic de PB. Le

lien physiopathologique entre BP et maladie neurologique n'est pas bien établi, peut-être par autoimmunité croisée vis-à-vis de l'antigène BPAG1 également présents dans le système nerveux central et démasquée par la maladie neurologique (11–14).

Cliniquement la PB se manifeste par un prurit souvent isolé au départ puis associé à une éruption bulleuse généralisée ou localisée accompagnée de lésions inflammatoires pseudo-urticariennes ou eczématiformes. La lésion élémentaire est une bulle reposant classiquement sur des plaques inflammatoires pseudo-urticariennes. Il existe des formes non-bulleuses où les symptômes sont un prurit isolé, à type de prurigo nodulaire, des plaques pseudo-urticariennes ou eczématiformes sans bulle, voire une érythrodermie. Contrairement à d'autres maladies bulleuses auto-immunes sous-épidermiques, les lésions cicatricielles sont classiquement absentes et la PB épargne la tête et cou ainsi que les muqueuses.

Le diagnostic de PB repose sur l'aspect clinique décrit ci-dessus, la présence d'une bulle sous épidermique avec un infiltrat dermique à PNE en histologie, la présence de dépôts linéaires d'IgG et/ou C3 à la jonction dermo-épidermique en IFD et la mise en évidence fréquente d'anticorps circulants anti BPAG1 et surtout anti BPAG2 par ELISA et Western Blot.

En 1998 le Groupe Bulle (Centre de référence national sur les maladies bulleuses auto-immunes) a proposé et validé un ensemble de 4 critères cliniques fortement associés au diagnostic de PB : âge supérieur à 70 ans, absence de cicatrices atrophiques, absence d'atteinte muqueuse, absence d'atteinte prédominante de la tête et du cou. Si au moins 3 critères sur 4 étaient présents, le diagnostic de PB pouvait être affirmé avec une sensibilité de 90%, une spécificité de 83% et une valeur prédictive positive de 95%. En 2004, le Groupe Bulle a confirmé la validité de ces critères clinique pour le diagnostic de PB en utilisant l'analyse du sérum des patients en immunoblot comme critère diagnostique principal, avec une sensibilité de 86%, une spécificité de 90% et une excellente valeur prédictive positive supérieure à 95%, si au moins 3 des 4 critères étaient présents, confirmant ainsi l'intérêt de cet ensemble de caractéristiques cliniques pour le diagnostic rapide de PB.

Cependant, le spectre clinique de la PB se modifie avec le temps et récemment un certain nombre de caractéristiques cliniques inhabituelles semblent émerger chez certains patients atteints de PB, notamment des atteintes palmo-plantaires prédominantes, des érosions extensives et une plus grande fréquence de lésions intra-buccales et de la tête et du cou. Cette étude monocentrique rétrospective portant sur une cohorte de grande taille de PB bien documentées a été menée pour mettre en évidence un changement possible des caractéristiques cliniques au cours du temps avec 2 objectifs principaux complémentaires : (i) décrire la fréquence des caractéristiques cliniques atypiques, dichotomisées en topographies atypiques et lésions cutanées élémentaires atypiques, et leur possible émergence au cours des 2 dernières décennies (ii) vérifier la validité au cours du temps des 4 critères cliniques diagnostiques mentionnés ci-dessus étant donné la possible augmentation de fréquence de caractéristiques cliniques atypiques.

REFERENCES

1. Schmidt E, della Torre R, Borradori L. Clinical features and practical diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am.* mai 2012;32(2):217-32, v.
2. Zillikens D, Mascaro JM, Rose PA, Liu Z, Ewing SM, Caux F, et al. A highly sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of circulating anti-BP180 autoantibodies in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *J Invest Dermatol.* nov 1997;109(5):679-83.
3. Di Zenzo G, Thoma-Uszynski S, Fontao L, Calabresi V, Hofmann SC, Hellmark T, et al. Multicenter prospective study of the humoral autoimmune response in bullous pemphigoid. *Clin Immunol Orlando Fla.* sept 2008;128(3):415-26.
4. Bernard P, Vaillant L, Labeille B, Bedane C, Arbeille B, Denoeux JP, et al. Incidence and distribution of subepidermal autoimmune bullous skin diseases in three French regions. Bullous Diseases French Study Group. *Arch Dermatol.* janv 1995;131(1):48-52.
5. Increased risk of bullous pemphigoid in male and very old patients: A population-based study on incidence. - PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. [cité 24 juill 2018]. Disponible sur: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10426901>
6. Langan SM, Smeeth L, Hubbard R, Fleming KM, Smith CJP, West J. Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris--incidence and mortality in the UK: population based cohort study. *BMJ.* 9 juill 2008;337:a180.
7. Gudi VS, White MI, Cruickshank N, Herriot R, Edwards SL, Nimmo F, et al. Annual incidence and mortality of bullous pemphigoid in the Grampian Region of North-east Scotland. *Br J Dermatol.* août 2005;153(2):424-7.
8. Cozzani E, Parodi A, Rebora A, Delmonte S, Barile M, Nigro A, et al. Bullous pemphigoid in Liguria: a 2-year survey. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* juill 2001;15(4):317-9.
9. Serwin AB, Bokinić E, Piascik M, Masny D, Chodyncka B. Epidemiological and clinical analysis of pemphigoid patients in northeastern Poland in 2000-2005. *Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res.* août 2007;13(8):CR360-364.
10. Joly P, Baricault S, Sparsa A, Bernard P, Bédane C, Duvert-Lehembre S, et al. Incidence and mortality of bullous pemphigoid in France. *J Invest Dermatol.* août 2012;132(8):1998-2004.
11. Lai YC, Yew YW, Lambert WC. Bullous pemphigoid and its association with neurological diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* déc 2016;30(12):2007-15.
12. Cordel N, Chosidow O, Hellot M-F, Delaporte E, Lok C, Vaillant L, et al. Neurological disorders in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *Dermatol Basel Switz.* 2007;215(3):187-91.
13. Gambichler T, Segert H, Höxtermann S, Schmitz L, Altmeyer P, Teegen B. Neurological disorders in patients with bullous pemphigoid: clinical and experimental investigations. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* sept 2015;29(9):1758-62.
14. Milani-Nejad N, Zhang M, Kaffenberger J. The association between bullous pemphigoid and neurological disorders: a systematic review. *Eur J Dermatol EJD.* 1 oct 2017;27(5):472-81.
15. de Quatrebarbes J, Joly P. [Bullous pemphigoid]. *Rev Prat.* 15 juin 2005;55(11):1165-8.
16. della Torre R, Combescure C, Cortés B, Marazza G, Beltraminelli H, Naldi L, et al. Clinical presentation and diagnostic delay in bullous pemphigoid: a prospective nationwide cohort. *Br J Dermatol.* nov 2012;167(5):1111-7.
17. Bakker CV, Terra JB, Pas HH, Jonkman MF. Bullous Pemphigoid as Pruritus in the Elderly: A Common Presentation. *JAMA Dermatol.* 1 août 2013;149(8):950-3.
18. Joly P, Courville P, Lok C, Bernard P, Saiag P, Dreno B, et al. Clinical criteria for the diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid: a reevaluation according to immunoblot analysis of patient sera. *Dermatol Basel Switz.* 2004;208(1):16-20.
19. Vaillant L, Bernard P, Joly P, Prost C, Labeille B, Bedane C, et al. Evaluation of clinical

criteria for diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. French Bullous Study Group. Arch Dermatol. sept 1998;134(9):1075-80.

20. Esmaili N, Hallaji Z, Soori T, Chams Davatchi C. Bullous pemphigoid in Iranian patients: a descriptive study on 122 cases. Acta Med Iran. 2012;50(5):335-8.

21. Chang YT, Liu HN, Wong CK. Bullous pemphigoid--a report of 86 cases from Taiwan. Clin Exp Dermatol. janv 1996;21(1):20-2.

22. Kridin K, Bergman R. Association of Bullous Pemphigoid With Dipeptidyl-Peptidase 4 Inhibitors in Patients With Diabetes: Estimating the Risk of the New Agents and Characterizing the Patients. JAMA Dermatol [Internet]. 8 août 2018 [cité 14 août 2018]; Disponible sur: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamadermatology/fullarticle/2695085>

23. Di Zenzo G, Marazza G, Borradori L. Bullous pemphigoid: physiopathology, clinical features and management. Adv Dermatol. 2007;23:257-88.

24. Di Zenzo G, Thoma-Uszynski S, Fontao L, Calabresi V, Hofmann SC, Hellmark T, et al. Multicenter prospective study of the humoral autoimmune response in bullous pemphigoid. Clin Immunol Orlando Fla. sept 2008;128(3):415-26.

25. Di Zenzo G, Thoma-Uszynski S, Calabresi V, Fontao L, Hofmann SC, Lacour J-P, et al. Demonstration of epitope-spreading phenomena in bullous pemphigoid: results of a prospective multicenter study. J Invest Dermatol. nov 2011;131(11):2271-80.

26. Heymann WR. Bullae for You: The Increasing Importance and Implications of Drug-induced Bullous Pemphigoid. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1 oct 2018;

27. Chijiwa C, Takeoka S, Kamata M, Tateishi M, Fukaya S, Hayashi K, et al. Decrease in eosinophils infiltrating into the skin of patients with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor-related bullous pemphigoid. J Dermatol. mai 2018;45(5):596-9.

28. Kawaguchi Y, Shimauchi R, Nishibori N, Kawashima K, Oshitani S, Fujiya A, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors-associated bullous pemphigoid: A retrospective study of 168 pemphigoid and 9,304 diabetes mellitus patients. J Diabetes Investig. 19 juin 2018;

TIME-RELATED EVOLVING CLINICAL PATTERN OF BULLOUS PEMPHIGOID: A MONOCENTER RETROSPECTIVE SURVEY OF 312 CASES

Elise Dandache¹, Aurélie Du Thanh¹, Bernard Guillot¹, Céline Girard¹,
Didier Bessis¹, Olivier Dereure¹

1: Department of Dermatology, University of Montpellier and INSERM U1058, Montpellier, France

Correspondence to:

Olivier Dereure
Department of Dermatology
Hôpital Saint-Eloi
University of Montpellier and INSERM U1058
80 avenue Augustin Fliche
Montpellier Cedex 5 France
Tel : (33) 467 33 69 06
Fax : (33) 467 33 69 58
Email: o-dereure@chu-montpellier.fr

Key words: bullous pemphigoid, atypical presentation, clinical patterns

Word count: 5923

References: 28

Figures: 0

Table: 5

Conflict of interest: none to declare

ABSTRACT

Background : The clinical spectrum of Bullous Pemphigoid (BP) may have changed over time as suggested by the recently growing occurrence of unusual clinical features reported in daily practice. Accordingly a retrospective, large-scale time-related analysis was conducted to reappraise BP clinical profile during the last 2 decades and to reassess the validity of a set of 4 previously established clinical criteria.

Patients and methods : All well-documented BP patients diagnosed between January 2001 and April 2017 in a tertiary referral center were included and the following data were collected : baseline characteristics of patients, extent of the disease, distribution and type of cutaneous lesions, presence of mucous lesions, presence of at least one atypical clinical (topographical or lesional) feature, presence or absence of at least 3 of previously validated diagnostic clinical criteria. In order to identify a possible time-related shift of clinical features, data from 4 chronological groups of 4 years were statistically compared.

Results : 312 patients were finally included and analyzed. At least 3 of the 4 classical criteria were present in 278 patients (89.1%), but with a trend toward a steady decrease of relevance over time (G1 93.0% vs G4 83.8%, p 0.067). The most frequent missing criteria were absence of mucosal involvement followed by absence of head and neck involvement. 206 patients (66.0%) displayed at least one atypical clinical feature and chronological analysis confirmed a significant time-related increase of atypical pattern frequency (G1 57.9%, G4 73.7%, p 0.041). Head and neck, palmoplantar, mucosae involvement were observed in 56 (17.9%), 151 (48.4%) and 58 (18.6%) patients respectively, with an upward, although non significant trend between G1 and G4 (p 0.071, p 0.088, p 0.094 for these 3 items respectively).

Discussion : Clinical presentation of BP seems to have evolved over time with a progressively higher proportion of atypical topographical and/or lesional characteristics, mainly regarding mucous membrane, head and neck and palmo-plantar involvement. This shift probably underlies the progressive reduction of the percentage of BP patients fulfilling at least 3 of the 4 BP previously validated diagnostic criteria even though these criteria remain robust in our study. Environnemental causes, mainly drugs might affect clinical presentation of BP since a higher frequency of mucosal lesions has been recently reported in dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP4is)-induced BP compared to other BP patients.

Conclusion : BP clinical spectrum seems to have changed with the emergence of more atypical forms, perhaps under the influence of etiological factors including drugs.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS LIST

BP: bullous pemphigoid

BPag1: Bullous Pemphigoid antigen 1

Bpag2: Bullous Pemphigoid antigen 2

DIBP : Drug-induced bullous pemphigoid

DIF: direct immunofluorescence

DPP4is: dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors

ELISA: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

G1-G4: group 1-group 4

IgG: immunoglobulin G

PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors: programmed cell death 1/ programmed death ligand 1

PMSI: programme de médicalisation des systèmes d'information

INTRODUCTION

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is the most frequent autoimmune bullous disease and mainly affects the elderly population. (2,3). Causative autoantibodies target structural proteins of hemidesmosomes, mainly 230 kD BPag1 and 180 kD BPag2 at the dermo-epidermal junction, resulting in subepidermal blistering within the upper part of lamina lucida. The annual world incidence has been estimated between 4.5 and 14 new cases per million per year (4–9) and may be higher in France with 21.7 new cases per million per year. This latter value is about 3 times higher than the estimated incidence 15 years ago, according to a recent survey (10). The overall 1-year survival rate is 62% (10) with a death hazard three to six times higher than in the age- and gender-matched general population, mainly related to general health status, comorbidities with a significant association with degenerative and vascular neurological disorders and to treatment complications especially of systemic steroids rather than to the severity of the blistering disease itself (10).

Clinically, BP is mainly characterized by pruritus, often isolated at onset of the disease, associated with inflammatory, urticaria-like lesions and a widespread or more localized bullous eruption characteristically developing on inflammatory skin and predominant on some body areas. (15). Contrasting with other subepithelial auto-immune blistering diseases, scarring is theoretically absent and the disease usually spares head and neck regions and mucous membranes (1,16)(17). BP diagnosis is based on a combination of consistent clinical features, subepidermal cleavage with an eosinophil-rich infiltrate on histopathological examination and IgG and/or C3 deposits at the dermoepidermal junction on direct immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy. Circulating anti-BPag1 and mainly anti-BPag2 autoantibodies are usually detected on immunoblot or by ELISA (1,18).

In 1998, The French Auto-immune Bullous Diseases taskforce proposed and validated a set of 4 clinical criteria highly consistent with BP diagnosis that consisted of age greater than 70 years, absence of atrophic scars, absence of mucosal involvement and absence of predominant bullous lesions on the neck and head. If 3 of these 4 characteristics were present, a clinical diagnosis of BP could be made with a sensitivity of 90%, a specificity of 83% and a positive predictive value of 95% (19). In 2004 the same taskforce reappraised the validity of these clinical criteria for BP diagnosis using immunoblot analysis of patients' sera as the main diagnostic criterion (18) which confirmed a sensitivity of 86%, a specificity of 90% and an excellent predictive diagnostic value of more than 95% if at least 3 of these 4 clinical criteria were present, therefore confirming the interest of this set of clinical features for the rapid

diagnosis of BP (18).

However, clinical spectrum of diseases may change over time and recently a number of unusual clinical features appear to have emerged in some BP patients including merely or predominant palmo-plantar blistering, extensive erosions and a higher than expected occurrence of intrabuccal and head and neck lesions. Accordingly a monocenter retrospective study based on a large cohort of well-documented BP was designed to document this possible shift of BP clinical pattern over time with two main complementary objectives: (i) to describe the possible occurrence of unusual/atypical clinical patterns of BP with respect to lesions' topography and/or clinical subtype and their possible time-related emergence over the last two decades (ii) to reassess the validity of the four aforementioned clinical diagnostic criteria over time in this setting of possibly increasing frequency of atypical features.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients' selection procedure

All patients with a well-established diagnosis of BP and treated in the department of dermatology of the University of Montpellier, a tertiary reference center, from January 2001 to April 2017 were considered for inclusion. The identification of patients was based on a list of individuals obtained from the computerized epidemiological database in use in French healthcare establishments through a specific coding (PMSI) with bullous pemphigoid selected as principal, related or associated diagnosis. Data from selected patients were then retrospectively collected using electronic medical records from the local medical software and anonymized before analysis.

In a second step, BP diagnosis was verified in all patients selected through this procedure using consistent histological (subepidermal blister with eosinophil-rich dermal infiltrate) and direct immunofluorescence (IgG and/or C3 linear deposits at the dermoepidermal junction) data obtained on initial skin biopsies. BP180 ELISA was often performed on patients' sera, particularly in case of unclear diagnosis mainly owing to inconclusive and/or discrepant histological and DIF data. Patients with diagnostic or coding errors (most often other auto-immune bullous diseases or skin infections) or patients for whom clinical details were missing were excluded of final analysis.

Collected data

The following data were systematically collected from selected patients' files:

- Baseline characteristics of patients: age at diagnosis, gender, presence and type of associated neurological disorder.
- Initial extent of the disease: multibullous (at least 10 new blisters daily), paucibullous (less than 10 blisters daily) or nonbullous subset (solitary pruritus or associated with prurigo-like lesions or excoriations or merely inflammatory lesions); localized disease (one affected body area only).
- Initial distribution of cutaneous lesions: limbs, trunk, head and neck, palmo-plantar regions
- Type of non-bullous cutaneous lesions: urticaria-like or eczematous

plaques, atrophic scars, erythematous lesions, extensive skin erosions.

- Presence, clinical pattern and topography of mucous membranes lesions.
- Histological, DIF and if applicable ELISA findings
- Presence or absence of at least 3 of the clinical criteria of BP validated in prior reports by the French taskforce (19).

Chronological group and time-related comparative analysis of data

In order to identify a possible time-related shift of clinical features, patients were chronologically divided in four groups of 4 years each:

- Group 1 (G1) diagnosed between January 2001 and December 2004
- Group 2 (G2) diagnosed between January 2005 and December 2008
- Group 3 (G3) diagnosed between January 2009 and December 2012
- Group 4 (G4) diagnosed between January 2013 and April 2017

The following data were described as figures and percentages, and Pearson's Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test according to samples' size were used to compare these data between the 4 chronological groups (G1, G2, G3, G4) and more specifically between G1 and G4 to search for a possible time-related shift of clinical pattern:

- presence of at least one atypical clinical element, either topographic or lesional, formally defined as involvement of palmoplantar regions, head and neck or mucous membranes (topographic atypical features) and extensive erosions, erythematous or eczematous lesions, atrophic scars (lesional atypical features)

- presence/absence of at least 3 of 4 of the above-mentioned validated clinical BP diagnostic criteria

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of patients

360 files were initially selected through PMSI database survey but only 312 patients (86.67%) were retained for final analysis. 48 patients were excluded: 17 for diagnostic errors (3 prurigo or isolated pruritus, 1 eczema, 3 mucous membrane pemphigoid, 1 cutaneous adverse drug reaction, 1 bullous impetigo, 2 epidermolysis bullosa acquisita, 2 pemphigoid gestationis, 1 linear IgA disease, 2 pemphigus, 1 eosinophilic cellulitis) and 31 for missing clinical details. 146 (46.8%) were female and 166 (53.3%) male patients. Age ranged from 49 to 106 years (median 82.0 years, Q1 74.8 – Q3 88.0 years). 165/313 (52.9%) patients had a medical history of neurological disease (degenerative, vascular or neuropsychiatric) (Table A). Histological and DIF findings were consistent with BP in 285 (91.3%) and 263 (84.3%) patients respectively but all patients had at least one consistent criteria. BP180 ELISA was performed in 224 patients and was positive for 197 (87.9%) patients (Table B).

Overall descriptive analysis of initial clinical pattern (Table C).

Topographic distribution of lesions

Initially non bullous, paucibullous, multibullous, or localized BP was diagnosed in 12 (3.8%), 137 (43.9%), 158 (50.6%) and 5 (1.6%) patients respectively.

A typical topographic distribution of lesions (limbs and abdomen) was solely or mainly observed in a minority of patients (121; 38.8%) while head and neck, palmo-plantar regions and at least one mucosae were affected in 56 (17.9%), 151 (48.4%) and 58 (18.6%) patients respectively regardless of the relative importance of these latter lesions regarding overall location of skin and mucosae changes. Conversely, a predominant atypical distribution including head and neck, palmo-plantar and/or mucosal involvement was observed in 191 (61.2%) cases including 146 (46.8%) cases with exclusive atypical topography. As regards mucosal involvement, oral mucosae was mainly affected in 52 (16.67%) cases followed by genital mucosae in 14 (4.49%) cases including 6 cases with exclusive genital involvement; no ocular involvement was reported.

Clinical pattern of lesions

A typical clinical pattern of urticaria-like plaques and blisters was exclusively present in 234 (75%) cases. 50 (16.0%) patients presented with blisters with no urticaria-like plaques nor atypical clinical features. Conversely, at least one atypical/unusual clinical feature was observed in 28 (9.0%) patients overall including 9 (2.9%) patients where atypical features were solely present: atrophic scars in 3 (0.96%), eczematous lesions in 14 (4%), erythematous lesions in 5 (2%) and extensive erosions in 7 (2%) patients respectively.

Overall, 206/312 (66.0%) patients displayed at least one atypical element, either lesional or topographic with a ratio atypical topography / atypical clinical features of 6.8 (191/28). 13 (4.2%) patients displayed a totally atypical form (both lesional and topographic) while 95 (30.4%) showed no atypical element whatsoever.

Presence/absence of formerly validated clinical criteria for BP diagnosis

(Table D)

Overall, the 4 criteria were present at diagnostic in 196 (62.82%) patients while 3, 2, 1 or 0 criteria were present in 82 (26.28%), 30 (9.61%), and 4 (1.28%) patients respectively. Accordingly, at least 3 of 4 criteria were present in 278 (89.10%) patients. By order of frequency, the missing criteria were the absence of mucosal involvement (58 patients, 18.59%), of predominant bullous lesions on the neck and head (56 patients, 17.95%), an age greater than 70 years (41 patients, 13.14%) and the absence of atrophic scars (3 patients, 0.96%).

Comparative data analysis in chronological groups (Table C and D)

Proportion of woman and median age were similar across the 4 groups (G1 63%, G2 48%, G3 51%, G4 53%, $p = 0.165$; G1 83.0 years, G2 81.5 years, G3 83.0 years, G4 81.0 years, $p = 0.153$ respectively) and between G1 and G4 ($P = 0.242$ and 0.294 respectively). The association with neurological comorbidities, irrespective of their subtype, increased over time, but with no significant difference between the 4 groups (G1 43.8%, G2 47.6%, G3 54.0%, G4 61.6%, $p = 0.063$) nor between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.045$). The proportion of multibullous BP was similar in the 4 groups (G1 47.4%, G2 45.1%, G3

52.7%, G4 55.6%, $p = 0.508$) and between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.324$).

The percentage of patients displaying at least one atypical, either lesional or topographic clinical feature steadily increased over time in the 4 chronological groups: G1 57.9%, G2 59.8%, G3 68.9%, G4 73.7%, with no overall difference ($p = 0.111$) between the 4 groups but a significant difference ($p = 0.041$) was observed between G1 and G4. No difference was identified between the 4 groups regarding the proportion of exclusively atypical disease regarding both topographic and lesional pattern (G1 5.3%, G2 <0.1%, G3 <0.1%, G4 5.0%) ($p = 0.859$) nor between G1 and G4 ($p = 1.000$). Regarding the proportion of exclusively typical diseases as to both topographic and lesional features, a steady but non significant decrease was identified over time when comparing the four chronological groups (G1 40.3%, G2 34.1%, G3 24.3%, G4 26.3%, $p = 0.151$) but a more marked trend (although still non significant) was observed when comparing G1 and G4 ($p = 0.068$).

The presence of a mere or predominant typical topographic pattern (mainly involving limbs and abdomen) was statistically different in the 4 groups (G1 to G4: 43.8%, 37.8%, 23.0%, 48.5% respectively; $p = 0.006$) but with no clear chronological shift toward progressive decrease over time as no significant difference between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.577$) was found. As to the initial presence of typical urticaria-like plaques, no significant difference was identified between the 4 groups (75.4%, 69.5%, 73.0%, 80.8% respectively; $p = 0.372$) nor between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.126$).

Comparative analysis of data regarding patients with predominant atypical distribution of lesions revealed a trend to steady increase over time between the 4 groups (G1 50.9%, G2 54.9%, G3 66.2%, G4 68.7%) ($p = 0.070$) and a statistically significant difference between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.027$). Conversely, the specific analysis of patients with exclusive atypical topography failed to identify significant difference between the 4 groups (G1 42.1%, G2 42.7%, G3 58.1%, G4 44.4%) ($p = 0.166$) nor between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.777$).

The percentage of patients with at least one atypical lesional subtype was not different between the 4 groups (G1 10.5%, G2 0.1%, G3 5.4%, G4 11.1%) ($p = 0.595$) nor between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.910$). The restricted number of patients with exclusively

atypical lesions (9) precluded any significant statistical analysis between chronological groups.

The main atypical features identified from files' review among predefined atypical elements (involvement of palmoplantar regions, of head and neck or of mucous membranes, extensive erosions, erythematous or eczematous lesions, atrophic scars) were individually submitted to a specific time-related analysis and in most cases no significant difference was identified between the 4 chronological groups nor between G1 and G4 more particularly. However, a trend toward a significant difference was observed between G1 and G4 for oral lesions (10.5% vs 22.2%; $p = 0.075$) and for head and neck lesions (12.3% vs 24.2%; $p = 0.071$) with a steady increase in frequency over time for both features, highly consistent with overall clinical feeling. Additionally, a significant difference between the 4 groups ($p = 0.026$) and a trend to significant difference between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.060$) were observed for extensive erosive lesions but the limited size of the sample must be pointed out (G1 7.0%, G2 0%, G3 1.4%, G4 1.0%).

Finally, the percentage of patients fulfilling at least 3 of 4 clinical criteria of BP diagnosis was no statistically significant difference between the 4 groups (93% G1, 91.5% G2, 90.5% G3, 83.8% G4; $p = 0.227$) despite a steady decrease over time but a trend toward significance was observed between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.067$). Conversely, a significant decrease of the percentage of patients fulfilling the 4 clinical criteria was observed over time (75.4% G1, 68.3% G2, 62.2% G3, 51.5% G4 : $p = 0.016$) and between G1 and G4 ($p = 0.003$).

DISCUSSION

This retrospective analysis based on a large cohort of 312 cases of BP initially diagnosed over a long period of time (approximatively 2 decades) is the first study ever specifically designed to evaluate a possible shift over time of BP clinical profile and of the relevance of the previously established set of 4 clinical criteria for BP diagnosis, with a specific emphasis on the frequency and spectrum of atypical clinical features related to either topographic or lesional features.

This large-scale descriptive, monocenter series mainly shows a significant increase over time of the proportion of patients displaying at least 1 atypical feature, either topographic or lesional or both, with head and neck, palmoplantar and mucous membranes involvement being by far the more frequently observed atypical features compared to atypical clinical subsets of lesions. In parallel, the proportion of patients with an exclusively typical pattern regarding both clinical subtype and topography of lesions tended to decrease over time even though only a trend was observed. Likewise, the proportion of patients fulfilling at least 3 of the 4 previously validated diagnostic criteria steadily decreased over the past two decades but with no significant difference, meaning that these criteria are probably still robust. Conversely, the proportion of patients fulfilling the 4 criteria significantly decreased during the period of the study.

BP severity regarding disease extension was similar across the 4 chronological groups and the rare localized form cases were all pretibial. Baseline characteristics of patients reflected previous data regarding age, sex ratio and association to neurological disorders (1,12,13,20-22).

Only few past studies aimed to record atypical clinical features in BP, although based on a lower number of patients compared to our series and none of them were specifically designed to appraise a possible shift of clinical profile over time. Della Torre carried out a prospective nationwide cohort in Switzerland between 1 January 2001 and 31 December 2002 including 117 patients: 17.1% patients had only excoriations, eczematous and/or urticarial infiltrated lesions, head/neck as well as palmo-plantar involvement were found in up to 20% of patients, while mucosal lesions were present in 14.5% of the cases (16). Esmaili carried out a descriptive study in 2012 in Iran on 122

patients with documented bullous pemphigoid and found oral lesions in 27% cases, non-specific rash in 19.7%, eczema in 7.4%, genital lesions in 4.1%, face in 25.4%, scalp in 17.2%, palm and sole in 8.2% (20). Chang reported in 1996 in 86 cases of Taiwan 3 cases of dishydrosiform BP and mucous involvement in 12.8% cases (21). Di Zenzo reported 10 to 20% involvement of oral mucosae in a prospective study of 49 cases in 2008 (3). Eventually, Kridin included 82 patients with BP and diabetes in 2018 and revealed that mucosal involvement was present in 22.2% patients taking dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor (DPP4is) vs 6.5% in other patients (22).

Proportion of head and neck involvement was the same in our series as previous study. A bigger proportion of mucosal and palmoplantar involvement was observed in our series compared to previous study. However, the proportion of patients displaying an atypical pattern was smaller than in some previous other studies but such features are poorly described in literature. Literature data regarding the presence of clinical atypical features and our main results are summarized and compared in Table E.

In 1998, the the French Taskforce for Bullous disorders established a set of 4 clinical BP diagnosis criteria (age greater than 70 years, absence of atrophic scars, absence of mucosal involvement and absence of predominant bullous lesions on the neck and head) ; if 3 of these 4 characteristics were present, a diagnosis of BP could be made with a sensitivity of 90%, a specificity of 83% and a positive predictive value of 95% (19). Some years later, the validity of these clinical criteria were reassessed using immunoblot analysis of patient sera as the main diagnostic element, confirming a high degree of sensitivity (86%) and of specificity (90%) and an excellent prognostic positive value over 95% among patients with various subepidermal auto-immune bullous disorders if 3 of these 4 clinical criteria were fulfilled (18). If these results confirmed the interest of this set of clinical criteria for BP rapid diagnosis, no further study reappraised the validity of these criteria nor specifically investigated a possible shift of their relevance over time. In our study the overall percentage of patients fulfilling at least 3 criteria was still high (89.1%) favouring a persistent robustness of this set of criteria but a trend toward a steady decrease of this percentage over time must be pointed out especially when taking in account the two extreme chronological groups (93.0% vs 83.8% respectively; $p = 0.067$). The most frequent missing criteria were the absence of

mucosal involvement (missing in 18.6% of patients) and of predominant bullous lesions on the head and neck (missing in 17.9% of patients), results that match quite well the data regarding the steady increase over time of the frequency of these atypical features.

A number of hypothesis underlying this possible shift to a higher percentage of atypical features in BP over time may be raised. First, it cannot be ruled out that higher attention was recently paid to atypical features in PB with a more frequent recording of such unusual elements in clinical files as a consequence; in this setting, the observed time-related increase of atypical features might be at least partially artifactual. A second hypothesis could be related to etiological factors and more particularly to recently-introduced drugs that can act as antigenic haptens binding to and/or modifying basement membrane proteins (23–25). More specifically, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP4is) currently largely used in diabetic patients has been recently repeatedly involved in BP occurrence and associated with a threefold increased risk for BP. Patients with DPP4is-induced BP display a higher mucosal involvement compared to non DPP4is-related BP (22,26–28). However, our study was not designed to evaluate the impact of medication on clinical presentation of BP. Further study are needed to more adequately investigate a possible relationship between medication uptake and the occurrence of atypical forms of BP.

CONCLUSION

A shift in the clinical spectrum of BP seems to have occurred during the past two decades with the emergence of more atypical forms mainly regarding the distribution of lesions. These changes in clinical pattern might at least partially be related to environmental causes, such as newly introduced drugs but further, large-scale prospective investigations are warranted to support this hypothesis.

Table A. Baseline characteristics of patients

	G1	G2	G3	G4	Total	P-value 4 groups G1 vs G4	
Number of patients	57 (18.3%)	82 (26.3%)	74 (23.7%)	99 (31.7%)	312 (100%)		
Sex : Female/Male	F 36 (63.2%) / M 21 (36.8%)	F 39 (47.6%) / M 43 (52.4%)	F 38 (51.3%) / M 36 (48.6%)	F 53 (53.5%) / M 46 (46.4%)	F 146 (46.8%) / M 166 (53.3%)	0.165	0.242
Median age at diagnosis (Q1-Q3)	83.0 years (Q1 76.0- Q3 88.0)	81.5 years (Q1 75.0- Q3 87.0)	83.0 years (Q1 77.3- Q3 88.0)	81.0 years (Q1 73.0- Q3 89.0)	82.0 years (Q1 74.8- Q3 88.0)	0.153	0.294
Neurological disorders	25 (43.9%)	39 (47.6%)	40 (54.0%)	61 (61.6%)	165 (52.9%)	0.063	0.045

SD, standard deviation ; G1 to G4, chronological groups ; Q1, first quartile ; Q3, third quartile

Table B. Histological, direct immunofluorescence and ELISA findings

	G1	G2	G3	G4	Total
Compatible histology	54/57 (94.7%)	73/82 (89.0%)	68/74 (91.9%)	90/99 (90.9%)	285/312 (91,3%)
DIF : linear IgG and/or C3 deposits at the DEJ	45/57 (78.9%)	72/82 (87.8%)	60/74 (81.1%)	86/99 (86.9%)	263/312 (84,3%)
ELISA if performed : detection of anti-BPAG2 antibodies	3/5 (60.0%)	51/54 (94.4%)	61/69 (88.4%)	82/96 (85.4%)	197/224 (87,9%)
DEJ, dermoepidermal junction; DIF, direct immunofluorescence; ELISA, Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay; G1 to G4, chronological groups					

Table C. Clinical patterns of bullous pemphigoid

	G1	G2	G3	G4	Total	P-value	
						Comparison 4 groups	Comparison G1 vs G4
<u>Topography</u>							
- Typical	25 (43.9%)	31 (37.8%)	17 (23.0%)	48 (48.5%)	121 (38.8%)	0.006	0.580
* Including exclusive typical topography	20 (35.1%)	21 (25.6%)	11 (14.9%)	24 (24.2%)	76 (24.4%)	0.064	0.147
Trunk and flexural involvement	25 (43.9%)	31 (37.8%)	17 (23.0%)	48 (48.5%)	121 (38.8%)	0.006	0.577
 - Atypical	29 (50.9%)	45 (54.9%)	49 (66.2%)	68 (68.7%)	191 (61.2%)	0.070	0.027
* Including exclusive atypical topography	24 (42.1%)	35 (42.7%)	43 (58.1%)	44 (44.4%)	146 (46.8%)	0.166	0.777
Head and neck involvement	7 (12.3%)	11 (13.4%)	14 (18.9%)	24 (24.2%)	56 (17.9%)	0.165	0.071
Palmo-plantar involvement	23 (40.3%)	35 (42.7%)	39 (52.7%)	54 (54.5%)	151 (48.4%)	0.248	0.088
Involvement of ≥ 1 mucosae	7 (12.3%)	15 (18.3%)	13 (17.6%)	23 (23.2%)	58 (18.6%)	0.435	0.094
* oral mucosae	6 (10.5%)	12 (14.6%)	12 (16.2%)	22 (22.2%)	52 (16.7%)	0.293	0.075
* genital mucosae	0 (0.0%)	6 (7.3%)	3 (4.0%)	5 (5.0%)	14 (4.5%)	0.202	0.159
* ocular mucosae	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000	1.000
 <u>Clinical features</u>							
- Typical	51 (89.4%)	75 (91.4%)	70 (94.6%)	88 (88.9%)	284 (91.0%)	0.595	0.910
* Including exclusive typical clinical features	41 (71.9%)	50 (61.0%)	51 (68.9%)	73 (73.7%)	215 (68.9%)	0.292	0.806
Urticaria-like plaques	43 (75.4%)	57 (69.5%)	54 (73.0%)	80 (80.8%)	234 (75.0%)	0.372	0.429
Blisters solely	8 (14.0%)	18 (21.9%)	16 (21.6%)	8 (8.1%)	50 (16.0%)	0.034	0.238
 - Atypical	6 (10.5%)	7 (0.1%)	4 (5.4%)	11 (11.1%)	28 (9.0%)	0.595	0.910
* Including exclusive atypical clinical features	4 (7.0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.01%)	4 (4.0%)	9 (2.9%)	0.057	0.465
Presence of atrophic scars	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.0%)	3 (1.0%)	1.000	1.000
Eczematous lesions	3 (5.3%)	5 (6.1%)	1 (1.4%)	5 (5.0%)	14 (4.5%)	0.481	1.000
Erythematous lesions	3 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.0%)	5 (5.0%)	0.078	0.139
Extensive erosive lesions	4 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.0%)	7 (2.2%)	0.026	0.060
 <u>All atypical patterns (topography or clinical features)</u>	33 (57.9%)	49 (59.8%)	51 (68.9%)	73 (73.7%)	206 (66.0%)	0.111	0.041
* Ratio atypical topography/atypical features	4.8 (29/6)	6.4 (45/7)	12.2 (49/4)	6.2 (68/11)	6.8 (191/28)	0.570	0.656
* Including exclusive atypical patterns	3 (5.3%)	3 (< 0.1%)	2 (< 0.1%)	5 (5.0%)	13 (4.2%)	0.859	1.000
 <u>All typical patterns (topography or clinical features)</u>	49 (86.0%)	65 (79.3%)	58 (78.4%)	86 (86.9%)	258 (82.7%)	0.682	0.267
* Including exclusive typical patterns	23 (40.3%)	28 (34.1%)	18 (24.3%)	26 (26.3%)	95 (30.4%)	0.151	0.068
 <u>Extent of the disease</u>							
Localized	2 (3.5%)	3 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)		
Paucibullous	24 (42.1%)	41 (50.0%)	32 (43.2%)	40 (40.4%)	137 (43.9%)		
Multibullous	27 (47.4%)	37 (45.1%)	39 (52.7%)	55 (55.6%)	158 (50.6%)	0.251	0.205
Nonbullous	4 (7.0%)	1 (1.2%)	3 (4.1%)	4 (4.0%)	12 (3.8%)		

G1 to G4, chronological group 61.2

Table D. Presence of clinical criteria of Vaillant and al. (absence of atrophic scars, absence of head and neck involvement, absence of mucosal involvement, and age greater than 70 years)

	G 1		G 2		G 3		G 4		Total	
≤ 1 criteria	1	(1.75%)	1	(1.22%)	1	(1.35%)	1	(1.01%)	4	(1.28%)
2 criteria	3	(5.26%)	6	(7.32%)	6	(8.11%)	15	(15.15%)	30	(9.62%)
3 criteria	10	(17.54%)	19	(23.17%)	21	(28.38%)	32	(32.32%)	82	(26.28%)
4 criteria	43	(75.44%)	56	(68.29%)	46	(62.16%)	51	(51.52%)	196	(62.82%)
≥ 3/4 criteria	53	(93.0%)	75	(91.5%)	67	(90.5%)	83	(83.8%)	278	(89.1%)

P-value ≥ 3/4 criteria : comparison /4 groups : p 0.227 ; comparison/G1 vs G4 : p 0.067

P-value 4/4 criteria : comparison/4 groups : p 0.016 ; comparison/G1 vs G4 : p 0.003

Table E. Atypical clinical features in BP patients as reported in the literature

Study	Number of patients	Atypical topography	Atypical lesional features
Chang 1996 (28)	86	12.8% mucosae	3.5% eczematous lesions
Di Zenzo 2008 (3)	49	10 to 20% oral mucosae	
Della Torre 2012 (16)	117	20% head and neck 14.5% mucosae	17.1% exclusive atypical lesions (excoriations, eczematous lesions)
Esmaili 2012 (28)	122	17.2% scalp 25.4% face 8.2% palm and sole 27% oral mucosae 4.1% genital mucosae	7.4% eczematous lesions 19.7% non specific rash
Kridin 2018 (22)	82	22.2% mucosae with DPP4is, 6.5% mucosae without DPP4is	
This study, 2018	312	61.2% atypical topography 17.9% head and neck 48.4% palm and sole 18.6% mucosae	9.0% atypical lesions 1.0% atrophic scars 4.5% eczematous lesions 5.0% erythematous squamous lesions 2.2% extensive erosive lesions 3.7% non bullous form

DPP4is, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors

Reference

1. Schmidt E, della Torre R, Borradori L. Clinical features and practical diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am.* mai 2012;32(2):217-32, v.
2. Zillikens D, Mascaro JM, Rose PA, Liu Z, Ewing SM, Caux F, et al. A highly sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of circulating anti-BP180 autoantibodies in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *J Invest Dermatol.* nov 1997;109(5):679-83.
3. Di Zenzo G, Thoma-Uszynski S, Fontao L, Calabresi V, Hofmann SC, Hellmark T, et al. Multicenter prospective study of the humoral autoimmune response in bullous pemphigoid. *Clin Immunol Orlando Fla.* sept 2008;128(3):415-26.
4. Bernard P, Vaillant L, Labeille B, Bedane C, Arbeille B, Denoeux JP, et al. Incidence and distribution of subepidermal autoimmune bullous skin diseases in three French regions. Bullous Diseases French Study Group. *Arch Dermatol.* janv 1995;131(1):48-52.
5. Increased risk of bullous pemphigoid in male and very old patients: A population-based study on incidence. - PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. [cité 24 juill 2018]. Disponible sur: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10426901>
6. Langan SM, Smeeth L, Hubbard R, Fleming KM, Smith CJP, West J. Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris--incidence and mortality in the UK: population based cohort study. *BMJ.* 9 juill 2008;337:a180.
7. Gudi VS, White MI, Cruickshank N, Herriot R, Edwards SL, Nimmo F, et al. Annual incidence and mortality of bullous pemphigoid in the Grampian Region of North-east Scotland. *Br J Dermatol.* août 2005;153(2):424-7.
8. Cozzani E, Parodi A, Rebora A, Delmonte S, Barile M, Nigro A, et al. Bullous pemphigoid in Liguria: a 2-year survey. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* juill 2001;15(4):317-9.
9. Serwin AB, Bokinić E, Piascik M, Masny D, Chodyncka B. Epidemiological and clinical analysis of pemphigoid patients in northeastern Poland in 2000-2005. *Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res.* août 2007;13(8):CR360-364.
10. Joly P, Baricault S, Sparsa A, Bernard P, Bédane C, Duvert-Lehembre S, et al. Incidence and mortality of bullous pemphigoid in France. *J Invest Dermatol.* août 2012;132(8):1998-2004.
11. Lai YC, Yew YW, Lambert WC. Bullous pemphigoid and its association with neurological diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* déc 2016;30(12):2007-15.
12. Cordel N, Chosidow O, Hellot M-F, Delaporte E, Lok C, Vaillant L, et al. Neurological disorders in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *Dermatol Basel Switz.* 2007;215(3):187-91.
13. Gambichler T, Segert H, Höxtermann S, Schmitz L, Altmeyer P, Teegen B. Neurological disorders in patients with bullous pemphigoid: clinical and experimental investigations. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* sept 2015;29(9):1758-62.
14. Milani-Nejad N, Zhang M, Kaffenberger J. The association between bullous pemphigoid and neurological disorders: a systematic review. *Eur J Dermatol EJD.* 1 oct 2017;27(5):472-81.
15. de Quatrebarbes J, Joly P. [Bullous pemphigoid]. *Rev Prat.* 15 juin 2005;55(11):1165-8.
16. della Torre R, Combescure C, Cortés B, Marazza G, Beltraminelli H, Naldi L, et al. Clinical presentation and diagnostic delay in bullous pemphigoid: a prospective nationwide cohort. *Br J Dermatol.* nov 2012;167(5):1111-7.
17. Bakker CV, Terra JB, Pas HH, Jonkman MF. Bullous Pemphigoid as Pruritus in the Elderly: A Common Presentation. *JAMA Dermatol.* 1 août 2013;149(8):950-3.
18. Joly P, Courville P, Lok C, Bernard P, Saiag P, Dreno B, et al. Clinical criteria for the diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid: a reevaluation according to immunoblot analysis of patient sera. *Dermatol Basel Switz.* 2004;208(1):16-20.
19. Vaillant L, Bernard P, Joly P, Prost C, Labeille B, Bedane C, et al. Evaluation of clinical criteria for diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. French Bullous Study Group. *Arch Dermatol.* sept

1998;134(9):1075-80.

20. Esmaili N, Hallaji Z, Soori T, Chams Davatchi C. Bullous pemphigoid in Iranian patients: a descriptive study on 122 cases. *Acta Med Iran.* 2012;50(5):335-8.
21. Chang YT, Liu HN, Wong CK. Bullous pemphigoid--a report of 86 cases from Taiwan. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* janv 1996;21(1):20-2.
22. Kridin K, Bergman R. Association of Bullous Pemphigoid With Dipeptidyl-Peptidase 4 Inhibitors in Patients With Diabetes: Estimating the Risk of the New Agents and Characterizing the Patients. *JAMA Dermatol* [Internet]. 8 août 2018 [cité 14 août 2018]; Disponible sur: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamadermatology/fullarticle/2695085>
23. Di Zenzo G, Marazza G, Borradori L. Bullous pemphigoid: physiopathology, clinical features and management. *Adv Dermatol.* 2007;23:257-88.
24. Di Zenzo G, Thoma-Uszynski S, Fontao L, Calabresi V, Hofmann SC, Hellmark T, et al. Multicenter prospective study of the humoral autoimmune response in bullous pemphigoid. *Clin Immunol Orlando Fla.* sept 2008;128(3):415-26.
25. Di Zenzo G, Thoma-Uszynski S, Calabresi V, Fontao L, Hofmann SC, Lacour J-P, et al. Demonstration of epitope-spreading phenomena in bullous pemphigoid: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Invest Dermatol.* nov 2011;131(11):2271-80.
26. Heymann WR. Bullae for You: The Increasing Importance and Implications of Drug-induced Bullous Pemphigoid. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1 oct 2018;
27. Chijiwa C, Takeoka S, Kamata M, Tateishi M, Fukaya S, Hayashi K, et al. Decrease in eosinophils infiltrating into the skin of patients with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor-related bullous pemphigoid. *J Dermatol.* mai 2018;45(5):596-9.
28. Kawaguchi Y, Shimauchi R, Nishibori N, Kawashima K, Oshitani S, Fujiya A, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors-associated bullous pemphigoid: A retrospective study of 168 pemphigoid and 9,304 diabetes mellitus patients. *J Diabetes Investig.* 19 juin 2018;

SERMENT

- *En présence des Maîtres de cette école, de mes chers condisciples et devant l'effigie d'Hippocrate, je promets et je jure, au nom de l'Être suprême, d'être fidèle aux lois de l'honneur et de la probité dans l'exercice de la médecine.*
- *Je donnerai mes soins gratuits à l'indigent et n'exigerai jamais un salaire au-dessus de mon travail.*
- *Admis (e) dans l'intérieur des maisons, mes yeux ne verront pas ce qui s'y passe, ma langue taira les secrets qui me seront confiés, et mon état ne servira pas à corrompre les mœurs, ni à favoriser le crime.*
- *Respectueux (se) et reconnaissant (e) envers mes Maîtres, je rendrai à leurs enfants l'instruction que j'ai reçue de leurs pères.*
- *Que les hommes m'accordent leur estime si je suis fidèle à mes promesses. Que je sois couvert (e) d'opprobre et méprisé (e) de mes confrères si j'y manque.*

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Background : Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is the most frequent autoimmune bullous disease and a set of 4 clinical criteria highly consistent with BP diagnosis has been previously validated. However, clinical spectrum of diseases may change over time and recently a number of unusual clinical features seem to have emerged in some BP patients. Accordingly a retrospective study was designed to reappraise the validity of the aforementioned criteria over time in a large cohort of well-documented BP in this setting of a possibly increasing frequency of atypical features and to describe possible new clinical patterns of BP and their potential time-related occurrence over the last two decades.

Patients and methods : Well-documented BP patients diagnosed between January 2001 and April 2017 were included in this retrospective analysis. The following data were collected : baseline characteristics of patients, extent of the disease, distribution and type of cutaneous lesions, presence of mucous lesions, presence of at least one atypical clinical (topographical or lesional) feature, presence or absence of at least 3 of the prior validated diagnostic clinical criteria. In order to identify a possible time-related shift of clinical features, data from 4 chronological groups of 4 years (G1 to G4) were statistically compared.

Results : 312 patients were included and analyzed. At least 3 of the 4 classical criteria were present in 278 patients (89.1%), but with a trend toward a steady decrease of relevance over time (G1 93.0% vs G4 83.8%, p 0.067). The most frequent missing criteria were absence of mucosal involvement followed by absence of head and neck involvement. 206 patients (66.0%) displayed at least one atypical clinical feature and chronological analysis confirmed a significant time-related increase of atypical pattern frequency (G1 57.9%, G4 73.7%, p 0.041). Head and neck, palmoplantar, mucosae involvement were observed in 56 (17.9%), 151 (48.4%) and 58 (18.6%) patients respectively, with an upward, although non significant trend between G1 and G4 (p 0.071, p 0.088, p 0.094 for these 3 items respectively).

Discussion : Clinical presentation of BP seems to have evolved over time with a progressively higher proportion of atypical topographical and/or lesional characteristics, mainly regarding mucous membrane, head and neck and palmo-plantar involvement. This shift probably underlies the progressive reduction of the percentage of BP patients fulfilling at least 3 of the 4 BP previously validated diagnostic criteria even though these criteria remain robust in our study. Environmental causes, mainly drugs might affect clinical presentation of BP since a higher frequency of mucosal lesions has been recently reported in dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP4is)-induced BP compared to other BP patients.

Key words: bullous pemphigoid, atypical presentation, clinical patterns